



\$~219

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CONT.CAS(C) 266/2026**

JEFFREY MOORE

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Keshav Sehgal, Mr. Mayank Maini, Mr. Biman Sethi, Ms. Pratima Suri, Ms. Nidhi Tyagi, Mr. Aniket Verma, Mr. Anmol Chadha, Mr. Shivam Gaur, Mr. Aryan Kumar and Ms. Rashi Singh, Advs.

versus

DECORE EXXOILS PVT. LTD.

.....Respondent

Through: Mr. Shivek Trehan and Mr. Akash Chandra, Advs.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SACHIN DATTA

ORDER

07.04.2026

%

1. During the course of hearing, it transpires that the SLP preferred by the petitioner against the order dated 07.01.2026 in CM(M) No.2410/2025, was dismissed by the Supreme Court *vide* order dated 16.03.2026. Further, on 19.03.2026, the following order was passed in CS 591/2019 pending before the District Judge-I, Patiala House Court, New Delhi. The same reads as under:

12

CS 591/19

JEFFREY MOORE Vs.

DECORE EXXOILS PVT LTD AND ORS

19.03.2026

Present: Sh. Mayank Maini, Ld. Counsel for Plaintiff.
Sh. Shivek Trehan (through VC) and Sh. Akash Chandra, Ld. Counsel for Defendants



Counsel for the defendant submits that in terms of order dated 07.01.2026 of Hon'ble High Court of Delhi in CM(M) 2410/2025 titled Decore Exxoils Pvt. Ltd. Vs. Jeffrey Moore and order dated 26.02.2026 in Cont.Cas(C) 266/2026 titled Jefferey Moore Vs. Decore Exxoils Pvt. Ltd. read with order dated 16.03.2026 of Hon'ble Apex Court in Special Leave to Appeal(C) n. 8038/2026, titled Decore Exxoils Pvt. Ltd. Vs. Jeffrey Moore, the defendant is not inclined to cross-examine plaintiff's witness and opportunity to cross-examine the plaintiff's witnesses may kindly be closed.

Counsel for the plaintiff also endorses the same.

Accordingly, opportunity to cross-examine PW1 stands closed.

Counsel for the plaintiff has rightly pointed out that vide order dated 21.08.2025, plaintiff's evidence has already been concluded.

Accordingly, list for DE in the matter on 09.07.2026. List of witnesses, if not already filed, be filed within one week from today with copy shared with other side. Copy of affidavit of examination-in-chief be shared with the opposite party at least a fortnight before the next date of hearing.

(Dharmender Rana)
District Judge – 01
PHC/New Delhi/19.03.2026

2. As can be seen, the aforesaid order records the statement on behalf of the respondent to the effect that it does not wish to cross-examine the petitioner's witness. Consequently, the opportunity to cross-examine the petitioner's witness was closed.
3. In view of the aforesaid development, the petitioner does not press the present petition.
4. The same is accordingly, dismissed as withdrawn.

SACHIN DATTA, J

APRIL 7, 2026/cl