



\$~55

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CRL.M.C. 1281/2026**

AKASHDEEP SINGH AND ORS.Petitioners

Through: Counsel (appearance not given)
alongwith petitioners (in-person)

versus

STATE OF NCT OF DELHI AND ANR.Respondents

Through: Mr. Nawal Kishore Jha, APP for the
State.

Mr. Sukrit Gupta, Adv. for R2
alongwith R-2 (in-person)

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUP JAIRAM BHAMBHANI

ORDER

% **16.02.2026**

CRL.M.A. 5136/2026 (exemption)

Exemption granted, subject to just exceptions.

The application stands disposed-of.

CRL.M.C. 1281/2026

By way of the present petition filed under section 528 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita 2023, the petitioners, who are former husband and in-laws of the complainant/respondent No.2, seeks quashing of case FIR No.0162/2025 dated 04.04.2025 registered under sections 85/316(2)/3(5) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita 2023 ('BNS') at P.S.: Civil Lines, North Delhi.

2. The petition is premised on Settlement Deed dated 28.07.2025 arrived at through mediation before the Delhi Mediation Centre, Tis Hazari Courts, Delhi; and Divorce Decree dated 23.12.2025, which is the



culmination of petitions under sections 13B(1) and 13B(2) of the Hindu Marriage Act 1955, whereby the parties had sought dissolution of their marriage by mutual consent.

3. The petition is supported by affidavits of the petitioners, as also of respondent No. 2, alongwith proof of their IDs.
4. Petitioners as well as respondent No. 2 are present in court. Their credentials have been verified and they have also been identified by their respective counsel.
5. Though two others persons, one being the aunt (*tai*) viz. Bovrina Bhasin and the other being the aunt's daughter, Tanpreet Kaur, were also mentioned in the subject FIR, the Investigating Officer ('I.O.'), who is present in court, states that the said 02 persons were never investigated since the complainant did not press any case against them.
6. The parties have confirmed that no child was born from the wed-lock.
7. No appeal is stated to have been filed from the divorce decree.
8. The court has queried respondent No. 2, who confirms that she has taken divorce by mutual consent; and that a settlement deed has been entered into between the parties; and that in full-and-final settlement of all her claims including towards maintenance (past, present and future), *stridhan*, dowry articles, jewellery, permanent alimony, etc., she was to receive a sum of Rs. 7,50,000/-from petitioner No.1; out of which Rs. 5,00,000/- was paid earlier and Rs. 2,50,000/- has been paid in court today, in compliance of the terms of the settlement deed. Respondent No. 2 confirms that all aspects of the settlement have now been performed.



9. Mr. Nawal Kishore Jha, learned APP confirms that the State has no objection to the subject FIR being quashed.
10. In the circumstances, in line with the law laid down by the Supreme Court in ***Gian Singh vs. State of Punjab & Anr.*** reported as (2012) 10 SCC 303 as also in ***Narinder Singh & Ors. vs. State of Punjab & Anr.*** reported as (2014) 6 SCC 466, this court sees no reason why the subject FIR and all proceedings emanating therefrom should not be quashed. This court is of the view that in light of the settlement between the contesting parties, continuing with the subject FIR and all subsequent proceedings would be an exercise in futility and would not be conducive to peace and harmony between the parties.
11. Accordingly, case FIR No.0162/2025 dated 04.04.2025 registered under sections 85/316(2)/3(5) of the BNS at P.S.: Civil Lines, North Delhi is quashed. All proceedings arising therefrom also stand closed.
12. Petition stands disposed-of.
13. Pending applications, if any, also stand disposed-of.

ANUP JAIRAM BHAMBHANI, J

FEBRUARY 16, 2026

SS