



\$~78

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CRL.M.C. 1231/2026**

MR. CHAINA RAM BARDWAL AND ORSPetitioners

Through: Mr. Sumeet Singh Shokeen,
Advocate.
Petitioner No.1 (in-person)
Petitioners Nos. 3 and 5 via video-
conferencing.

versus

STATE GOVT. OF NCT OF DELHI AND ANRRespondents

Through: Mr. Nawal Kishore Jha, APP for the
State.
ASI Santosh Kumar, P.S.: Amar
Colony.
Mr. Deepesh and Mr. Prayag
Sehrawat, Advocate for R-2 with R-2,
in-person.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUP JAIRAM BHAMBHANI

ORDER

13.02.2026

%

By way of the present petition filed under section 528 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita 2023, the petitioners, who are the former husband in-laws of the complainant/respondent No. 2, seek quashing of case FIR No. 0485/2024 dated 18.12.2024 registered under sections 498-A /406/34 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 ('IPC') at P.S.: Amar Colony, South-East, New Delhi.



2. The petition is premised on *आपसी सहमति पत्र* dated 23.06.2025; and Divorce Decree dated 05.07.2025, which is the culmination of petitions under sections 13B(1) and 13B(2) of the Hindu Marriage Act 1955, whereby the parties had sought dissolution of their marriage by mutual consent.
3. The petition is supported by affidavits of the petitioners, as also of respondent No. 2, alongwith proof of their IDs.
4. The petitioner No.1 as well as respondent No. 2 are present in court. Petitioners Nos. 3 and 5 have joined *via* video-conferencing. Their credentials have been verified and they have also been identified by their respective counsel.
5. The petitioner No.2 has not joined *via* video-conferencing since he is stated to be residing in a remote part of the Rajasthan and is unable to do so. Petitioner No.4 is stated to be in Indian Army and presently posted in Siachen and has therefore not been able to join *via* video-conferencing.
6. The parties have confirmed that no child was born from the wed-lock.
7. No appeal is stated to have been filed from the divorce decree.
8. The court has queried respondent No. 2, who confirms that she has taken divorce by mutual consent; and that an *आपसी सहमति पत्र* has been entered into between the parties. She confirms that all aspects of the settlement have now been performed.
9. Mr. Nawal Kishore Jha, learned APP confirms that the State has no objection to the subject FIR being quashed.



10. In the circumstances, in line with the law laid down by the Supreme Court in ***Gian Singh vs. State of Punjab & Anr.*** reported as (2012) 10 SCC 303 as also in ***Narinder Singh & Ors. vs. State of Punjab & Anr.*** reported as (2014) 6 SCC 466, this court sees no reason why the subject FIR and all proceedings emanating therefrom should not be quashed. This court is of the view that in light of the settlement between the contesting parties, continuing with the subject FIR and all subsequent proceedings would be an exercise in futility and would not be conducive to peace and harmony between the parties.
11. Accordingly, case FIR No. 0485/2024 dated 18.12.2024 registered under sections 498-A /406/34 of the IPC at P.S.: Amar Colony, South-East, New Delhi is quashed. All proceedings arising therefrom also stand closed.
12. Petition stands disposed-of.
13. Pending applications, if any, also stand disposed-of.

ANUP JAIRAM BHAMBHANI, J

FEBRUARY 13, 2026

SS