



\$~3 & 4

\* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

BAIL APPLN. 653/2026

FAIZAN SHEKH

.....Applicant

Through: Mr. Deepak Parashar, Adv (through VC)

versus

STATE (GOVT. OF NCT) OF DELHI

.....Respondent

Through: Mr. Satish Kumar, APP with Ms. Upasna Bakshi, Ms. Divya Bakshi, Advocates  
SI Shaily Jain, PS: Dayalpur with Complainant  
Mr. Rohit Verma & Mr. Monu Kumar, Advs. (through VC) for Complainant

4

+ BAIL APPLN. 656/2026

AKHTARI BEGUM

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Deepak Parashar, Adv (through VC)

versus

STATE (GOVT. OF NCT) OF DELHI

.....Respondent

Through: Mr. Satish Kumar, APP with Ms. Upasna Bakshi, Ms. Divya Bakshi, Advocates  
SI Shaily Jain, PS: Dayalpur with Complainant  
Mr. Rohit Verma & Mr. Monu Kumar, Advs. (through VC) for Complainant

**CORAM:**

**HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SAURABH BANERJEE**

**ORDER**

%

**14.05.2026**

1. At the outset, learned APP for the State, upon instructions from the IO present in Court, submits that both the applicants herein have since



joined the investigation and their custodial interrogation is not required.  
He further submits that neither of the applicants are the main accused.

2. In view of the aforesaid statement made by the learned APP, the present applications are allowed and the same are disposed of accordingly.

**SAURABH BANERJEE, J**

**MAY 14, 2026/So**