



\$~49

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ CRL.M.C. 1229/2026

DEEPAK KUMAR & ORS.

.....Petitioners

Through: Mr. Rishabh Singla, Adv. alongwith
Petitioners in person

versus

THE STATE NCT OF DELHI AND ORS

.....Respondents

Through: Ms. Meenakshi Dahiya, APP for the
State with Ms. Vanshika Singh and
Mr. Bhanu Pratap Singh, Adv.
SI- Vikas, PS: Kalyan Puri
Ms. Ria Goyal, Adv. for R-2 to 4
alongwith respondent no.2 to 4 in
person

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SAURABH BANERJEE

ORDER

%

13.02.2026

1. By virtue of the present petition under *Section 528* of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (**BNSS**) read with *Section 482* of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 (Cr.P.C.), the petitioners seek quashing of FIR No.52/2014 dated 15.01.2014 registered at PS.: Kalyanpuri, Delhi under *Sections 308/34* of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 (**IPC**), and all proceedings emanating therefrom, in view of the Oral Settlement arrived at between the petitioners and the respondent nos.2 to 4 and which is accompanied by a NOC/ Affidavit of the respondent no.2 to 4.
2. Issue notice. Learned APP for the State accepts notice, and records



her objection to the quashing of the aforesaid FIR No.52/2014 dated 15.01.2014.

3. However, respondent nos.2 to 4, who also accept notice, affirm the Oral Settlement and submit that they have voluntarily settled all disputes with the petitioners. They also submit that they do not wish to pursue the criminal proceedings against the petitioners. They, thus, submit that they have no objection to the quashing of the aforesaid FIR.

4. Further, the petitioners and the respondent nos.2 to 4, as well as their credentials on record, have all been identified by the Investigating Officer.

5. The respondent nos.2 to 4 herein have given their affidavit to the aforesaid effect that they are no longer inclined to support the case of the prosecution on the basis of the Oral Settlement as also since they have voluntarily entered into the same with the petitioners in the midst of pendency of the proceedings to bring to quietus the pending disputes *inter se* them. There is therefore no reason for prolonging the trial and/ or continuing with the proceedings emanating from the aforesaid FIR.

6. As such, and even otherwise considering the existing facts and the overall events unfolding in their entirety, though this Court is mindful that the present FIR has been registered under *Sections 308/34* of the IPC, this Court is inclined to quash the present FIR in exercise of its inherent powers under *Section 528* of the BNSS, particularly, whence the same is in the interest of justice and will also be in the interest of the parties and betterment of their future.

7. Consequently, in view of the Oral Settlement entered between the parties, with the terms thereof, they shall be bound, as also in order to



bring a quietus to the present disputes between the parties and following the law laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in ***Jitendra Raghuvanshi & Ors. vs. Babita Raguvanshi & Anr. (2013) 4 SCC 58, Gian Singh vs. State of Punjab & Anr. (2012) 10 SCC 303 and Narinder Singh & Ors. vs. State of Punjab & Anr. (2014) 6 SCC 466***, this Court is of the opinion that continuation of the aforesaid FIR No.52/2014 dated 15.01.2014 will be an exercise in futility.

8. Accordingly, the present petition is allowed and FIR No.52/2014 dated 15.01.2014 registered at PS.: Kalyanpuri, Delhi under *Sections 308/34* of the IPC and all other proceedings emanating therefrom are hereby quashed.

9. The petition is disposed of in the aforesaid terms.

SAURABH BANERJEE, J

FEBRUARY 13, 2026/Ab