



\$~15

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ **CRL.M.C. 1256/2026 & CRL.M.A. 5047/2026**
SOURAV @ SUNNY

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Atul Sharma, Advocate.
Petitioner in person.

versus

STATE GOVT. OF NCT OF DELHI AND ANR.

.....Respondent

Through: Mr. Raj Kumar, APP for the State with
SI Sweta.
Respondent No.2 in person.

CORAM:
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ JAIN

ORDER

11.05.2026

%

1. Petitioner herein seeks quashing of FIR No. 0056/2019 dated 08.03.2019, registered at Police Station Laxmi Nagar Delhi, for commission of offences under Sections 323/354/354(B)/506/509 IPC, along with all consequential proceedings arising therefrom, on the basis of compromise arrived at between the parties.
2. As per the bare allegations appearing in abovesaid FIR, the incident in question took place on 08.03.2019, when the petitioner had abused the complainant and threatened to cause her death and in the scuffle, he also tore her clothes.
3. The charge-sheet has already been filed for commission of offences under Sections 323/354/506/509 IPC. The charges have been ascertained though the complainant i.e. respondent No.2 is yet to enter the witness box.

CRL.M.C. 1256/2026

1



4. I.O. is present in Court and identifies the prosecutrix/complainant.
5. When asked, respondent No.2 reiterates the terms of the settlement and submits that the matter has been amicably settled between the parties out of her own free will, without any coercion and influence from any corner whatsoever and therefore, she would have '*no objection*' if FIR in question is quashed.
6. *Compromise Deed* dated 29.05.2025 has been placed on record.
7. In *Narinder Singh & Ors. vs. State of Punjab & Anr.*, (2014) 6 SCC 466, the Apex Court observed that proceedings, even in non-compoundable cases, can be quashed on the basis of settlement provided that the Court is satisfied that there was no meaningful purpose in continuing with the proceedings, and that the scope of conviction was remote and bleak. Reference be made to *Gian Singh v. State of Punjab & Anr.* (2012) 10 SCC 303.
8. Keeping in mind the overall facts of the case and the fact that parties have settled their all disputes amicably and respondent no. 2 does not want to pursue her complaint against petitioners herein, continuing with criminal proceedings would serve no useful purpose.
9. Accordingly, exercising inherent powers vested in this Court under Section 528 of *Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023*, it is deemed appropriate to quash the instant FIR.
10. Consequently, to secure the ends of justice, FIR No. 0056/2019 dated 08.03.2019, registered at Police Station Laxmi Nagar Delhi, for commission of offences under Sections 323/354/354(B)/506/509 IPC, along with all consequential proceedings arising therefrom, is, hereby, quashed subject to petitioner depositing total cost of Rs. 10,000/- in the account of *Delhi Legal*



Services Authority (DLSA), North-East District within four weeks. Proof of deposit and original affidavits of the parties be submitted to the learned Trial Court within further two weeks.

11. The petition stands disposed of in aforesaid terms.
12. Pending application also stand disposed of.

MANOJ JAIN, J

MAY 11, 2026/ss/sa