



\$~76

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CRL.M.C. 1204/2026**

SURAJ BADONI & ANR.

.....Petitioners

Through: Mr. Mandeep Baisala, Mr. Dhananjay Singh, Mr. Kavesh Bidhuri, Mr. Shobhit Anand, Advocates along with petitioners in person.

versus

STATE OF NCT OF DELHI & ORS.

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Digam Singh Dagar, APP for State.
Counsel (appearance not given) for R-2 and R-2 in person.

CORAM:

HON'BLE DR. JUSTICE SWARANA KANTA SHARMA

ORDER

%

12.02.2026

CRL.M.A. 4814/2026 (exemption)

1. Allowed, subject to all just exceptions.
2. Application stands disposed of.

CRL.M.C. 1204/2026

3. By way of the present petition, the petitioners seek quashing of the FIR bearing No. 421/2024, registered at Police Station Mansarovar Park, Delhi, for the commission of offences punishable under Sections 498A/34 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 (hereafter 'IPC') and all consequential proceedings emanating therefrom on the basis of settlement arrived at between the parties.



4. Issue notice. The learned APP accepts notice on behalf of the State.
5. All the petitioners and respondent no. 2 are present before this Court and have been identified by their counsel and Investigating Officer (IO) concerned, Police Station, Mansarovar Park, Delhi.
6. Brief facts of the case are that the marriage between the petitioner no. 1 and the respondent no. 2 was solemnized at Delhi on 10.07.2019, in accordance with Hindu rites and ceremonies. It is stated that due to various differences, disputes and issues had arisen between the petitioners and the respondent no. 2. Upon the complaint by respondent no. 2, the present FIR came to be registered against the petitioners. It is also stated that there was one child born out of the said wedlock and is in the custody of the mother/respondent no. 2. It is stated that with intervention of the family and friends, the parties have now amicably settled their dispute *vide* Memorandum of Understanding (MoU) dated 26.11.2025 executed at Delhi Mediation Centre, Karkardooma Courts, New Delhi.
7. On a query made by this Court, respondent no. 2 who has been identified by the IO, has categorically stated that she has entered into compromise out of her own free will and without any pressure, coercion or threat. It is also stated that she has received the remaining amount of Rs. 3,50,000/- through UPI transaction with HDFC Transaction ID No. HDFC98F7AA453230 dated 12.02.2026. Therefore, she has no objection if the present FIR is quashed.
8. In view of the above, that the parties have amicably resolved their differences out of their own free will, and without any coercion, no useful purpose will be served by continuing the proceedings, rather the same would create further acrimony between them. It would thus be in interest of justice



to quash the abovementioned FIR and the proceedings pursuant thereto. There is no legal impediment in quashing the FIR in question.

9. Accordingly FIR bearing No. 421/2024, registered at Police Station Mansarovar Park, Delhi, for the commission of offences punishable under Sections 498A/34 of IPC and all consequential proceedings emanating therefrom are quashed subject to the future rights of the minor child as per the judgment titled as ***Ganesh vs. Sudhirkumar Shrivastava***: (2020) 20 SCC 787 passed by the Hon'ble Supreme Court, will not get affected.

10. The petition stands disposed of.

11. The order be uploaded on the website forthwith.

DR. SWARANA KANTA SHARMA, J

FEBRUARY 12, 2026/vc