



\$~6

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(C) 2041/2023**

ZAKIR HUSSAIN

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Shantanu, Advocate.

versus

UNION OF INDIA

.....Respondent

Through: Mr. Arnav Kumar and Mr. Keshav
Mittal, Advs.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PURUSHAINDRA KUMAR KAURAV

ORDER

% **15.05.2026**

1. The instant petition is for the following reliefs:

*“(a) issue a writ of mandamus or any other order/direction, directing the Respondent authorities to retrieve all information pertaining to the case of the Petitioner's son- Mr. Saleem Sheikh; and/or
(b) Issue a writ of mandamus or any other order/direction(s), directing the Respondent Authorities to bring the son of the Petitioner- Mr. Saleem Sheikh back to India; and/or
(c) pass such other/further order as this Hon'ble Court may deem fit and proper in the facts and circumstances of the present case.”*

2. On the last date of hearing, the Court wanted to ascertain correct case no. as well as the period of sentence of the petitioner's son. So far as, the correct case no. is concerned, the same is clarified to be Case No. 79/2019 and the period of sentence is concerned, it is fifteen years. These clarifications satisfy the query raised by the Court. The relevant portion of the email dated 10.05.2023, issued by the concerned authorities in Abu Dhabi is extracted below for reference:



“Hello: Abdul Aziz Karama

Case detail/cases

Case number: 79

Case year: 2019

.....

The verdict/reason for the postponement: The court ruled in presence to punish Salim Sheikh Hussain Sheikh – Indian nationality for fifteen years in prison for what was assigned to him and deportation from the state after the end of the sentence imposed and the confiscation of the seized communication devices used in the crime and the closure of his account and”

3. Additionally, the petitioner is also granted liberty to approach respondent no. 1, in case the petitioner seeks any further assistance/inquiry. Respondent no. 2 in turn shall consider the same and do the needful in accordance with law.
4. With the aforesaid observations, nothing more requires to be adjudicated, the instant petition stands disposed of.
5. If the grievance of the petitioner stands unaddressed, the petitioner shall file a fresh writ petition.

PURUSHAINDR KUMAR KAURAV, J

MAY 15, 2026/Sh