



\$~66

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(C) 1965/2026 CM APPL. 9579/2026 CM APPL. 9580/2026**

RAJAT KUMAR

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Jamnesh Kumar and Mr. Abhinav
Garg, Advs.

versus

THE UNION OF INDIA & ORS.

.....Respondents

Through: Ms.Amrita Prakash, CGSC with
Mr.Vishal Ashwani Mehta, Adv
Mr. Vinay Kaushik, GP

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE V. KAMESWAR RAO

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE MANMEET PRITAM SINGH ARORA

ORDER

%

11.02.2026

1. Ms Amrita Prakash, learned CGSC for the respondents who appears on advance notice contests the maintainability of the present petition in this Court on the ground of territorial jurisdiction.
2. She also states that the Appellate Authority has considered the appeal of the petitioner and rejected the same on 22.11.2025. Learned counsel for the petitioner states that the petitioner has not received the same.
3. Let a copy of the order dated 22.11.2025, be given to the counsel for the petitioner.
4. The petitioner shall be at liberty to challenge the said order by availing such remedy as available to him under the rules and in law, if any cause survives.
5. We agree with the submission made by the learned counsel for the



respondents, as no cause of action has arisen within territorial jurisdiction of this Court, the petition is not maintainable. In any case, even the Revisional Authority, we have been informed, is based in Kolkata.

6. In view of the above, the petition is disposed of. The pending applications are disposed of as having become infructuous.

V. KAMESWAR RAO, J

MANMEET PRITAM SINGH ARORA, J

FEBRUARY 11, 2026/rr