



\$~59

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(C) 1944/2026**

SHAHZAD ALI IDRISI

.....Petitioner

Through: **Mr.Shankar Parashar, Adv.**

versus

GOVT OF NCT OF DELHI AND OTHERS

.....Respondent

Through: **Mr.Sumit K Batra, Adv for R-1 & 2.**

Mr.Kirti Uppal, Sr.Adv.

**Ms.Shobhana Takiar, S.C. with
Mr.Kuljeet Singh, Adv for DDA.**

**Mr.Kirti Uppal, Sr.Adv. with
Mr.Mohd. Amanullah, Mr.Misbah
Bin Tariq, Ms.Asmita, Ms.Suphia
Haque, Ms.Vibhuti Sharma, Adv.**

CORAM:

HON'BLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TEJAS KARIA

ORDER

% **11.02.2026**

1. Heard the learned counsel for the parties.
2. On being pointed out that for seeking cancellation of a sanctioned plan, the petitioner has got an alternative statutory remedy under Section 347(B) of the Delhi Municipal Corporation Act, 1957, learned counsel for the petitioner seeks leave to withdraw the present petition with liberty to avail remedy as prescribed under Section 347(B) of the Delhi Municipal Corporation Act, 1957.



3. Accordingly, the petition stands dismissed as not pressed with liberty as prayed for.

DEVENDRA KUMAR UPADHYAYA, CJ

TEJAS KARIA, J

FEBRUARY 11, 2026
S.Rawat