



2026:DHC:1145



3. Learned prosecutor assisted by IO/SI Narender Singh does not dispute that the role ascribed to the present accused/applicant is similar to that ascribed to the remaining three accused persons namely Laiq Ahmed and Suphiyan Ali as well as Farid.

4. The detailed circumstances in which the said co-accused persons were granted bail are already described in Annexures P-7 and P-8 to the bail application, raising doubt about truthfulness of the contents of the FIR in the light of CCTV Footage, coupled with unexplained delay of two days in lodging the complaint; and the mystery as to why so many armed persons would enter house of a jeweller and flee away after only snatching out an anklet from foot of wife of the complainant *de facto*.

5. Therefore, the application is allowed and the accused/applicant is directed to be released on bail subject to his furnishing a personal bond in the sum of Rs. 10,000/- with one surety in the like amount to the satisfaction of the trial court concerned.

6. Copy of this order be sent to the Jail Superintendent for informing the accused/applicant.

GIRISH
KATHPALIA

Digitally signed by GIRISH KATHPALIA
DN: cn=In, o=HIGH COURT OF DELHI,
2.5.4.20=8401dd889b27a77b2f65fffe4
afec4556faf9962c9fb4835d435f97626c
acca, ou=HIGH COURT OF DELHI, c=IN,
serialNumber=d3e86796451ec45c07b5
d15570996b40980cbd2ee60402c4879
65f8801e3d6a, cn=GIRISH KATHPALIA
Date: 2026.02.11 17:44:56 -08'00'

**GIRISH KATHPALIA
(JUDGE)**

FEBRUARY 11, 2026/dr

BAIL APPLN. 611/2026

Page 2 of 2 pages

Signature Not Verified

Digitally Signed
By: NEETU N NAIR
Signing Date: 11.02.2026
17:59:13