



\$~51

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ CRL.M.C. 1154/2026

SANJAY KUMAR @ SANJAY JINDAL & ORS.Petitioners

Through: Ms. Ankita, Mr. Rohit Shubham, Mr.
Mayank Kumar and Mr. V.S. Gandhi,
Advocates.

versus

**STATE (NCT OF DELHI) THROUGH SHO PS BINDAPUR &
ANR.Respondents**

Through: Mr. Digam Singh Dagar, APP for
State.
Mr. Manobal Gupta, Advocate for R-
2.

**CORAM:
HON'BLE DR. JUSTICE SWARANA KANTA SHARMA**

**ORDER
11.02.2026**

%

1. By way of the present petition, the petitioners seek quashing of the FIR bearing No. 1070/2014, registered at Police Station Bindapur, Delhi, for the commission of offences punishable under Sections 498A/406/34 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 (hereafter '*IPC*') and Section 4 of the Dowry Prohibition Act, 1961 (hereafter '*DP Act*') and all consequential proceedings emanating therefrom on the basis of settlement arrived at between the parties.
2. Issue notice. The learned APP accepts notice on behalf of the State.
3. All the petitioners and respondent no. 2 are present before this Court



and have been identified by their counsel and Investigating Officer (IO) concerned, Police Station, Bindapur, Delhi.

4. Brief facts of the case are that the marriage between the petitioner no. 1 and the respondent no. 2 was solemnized at Delhi on 07.06.2013, in accordance with Hindu rites and ceremonies. It is stated that due to various differences, disputes and issues had arisen between the petitioners and the respondent no. 2. Upon the complaint by respondent no. 2, the present FIR came to be registered against the petitioners. It is also stated that there was one child born out of the said wedlock and is in the custody of the mother/respondent no. 2. It is stated that with intervention of the family and friends, the parties have now amicably settled their dispute *vide* Memorandum of Understanding (MoU) dated 25.07.2024 executed at Mediation Centre, Dwarka Courts, New Delhi.

5. On a query made by this Court, respondent no. 2 who has been identified by the IO, has categorically stated that she has entered into compromise out of her own free will and without any pressure, coercion or threat. It is also stated that she has received a sum of Rs. 4,00,000/- *vide* Demand Draft (DD) bearing no. 013546 dated 03.02.2026 drawn on Indusind Bank. Therefore, she has no objection if the present FIR is quashed.

6. In view of the above, that the parties have amicably resolved their differences out of their own free will, and without any coercion, no useful purpose will be served by continuing the proceedings, rather the same would create further acrimony between them. It would thus be in interest of justice to quash the abovementioned FIR and the proceedings pursuant thereto. There is no legal impediment in quashing the FIR in question.



7. Accordingly FIR bearing No. 1070/2014, registered at Police Station Bindapur, Delhi, for the commission of offences punishable under Sections 498A/406/34 of IPC and Section 4 of the DP Act and all consequential proceedings emanating therefrom are quashed subject to the future rights of the minor child as per the judgment titled as ***Ganesh vs. Sudhirkumar Shrivastava: (2020) 20 SCC 787*** passed by the Hon'ble Supreme Court, will not get affected.
8. The petition stands disposed of.
9. The order be uploaded on the website forthwith.

DR. SWARANA KANTA SHARMA, J

FEBRUARY 11, 2026/vc/gj