



\$~67

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CRL.M.C. 1160/2026**

PRADEEP KUMAR DEWAN

.....Petitioner

Through: Petitioner with his counsel Ms Srishti
Bansal, Adv.

versus

STATE NCT OF DELHI AND ANR

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Digam Singh Dagar, APP for the
State.
R-2 with his counsel Ms. Anmol
Kaur, Adv.

CORAM:

HON'BLE DR. JUSTICE SWARANA KANTA SHARMA

ORDER

%

15.05.2026

1. By way of the present petition, the petitioner seeks quashing of the FIR bearing No. 77/2024, registered at Police Station Neb Sarai, Delhi, for the commission of offences punishable under Sections 498A/406 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 (hereafter '*IPC*') and all consequential proceedings emanating therefrom on the basis of settlement arrived at between the parties.
2. The petitioner and respondent no. 2 are present before this Court and have been identified by their counsel and Investigating Officer (IO) concerned Police Station Neb Sarai, Delhi.
3. Brief facts of the case are that the marriage between the petitioner and respondent no. 2 was solemnized at Delhi on 31.08.2008, in accordance with



Hindu rites and ceremonies. It is stated that one child was born out of the said wedlock on 20.06.2012, who is presently in the custody of the petitioner. Due to temperamental differences, parties have been residing separately. It is stated that on the complaint of respondent no. 2, the present FIR was registered against the petitioner.

4. During pendency of the case, both the parties have amicably settled their disputes and an undertaking in the form of an affidavit of respondent no. 2 has been placed on record in this regard.

5. This Court notes that the custody of minor children is with the petitioner and the future right of the child will not be affected by virtue of this compromise.

6. On a query made by this Court, respondent no.2, who has been identified by the IO, has categorically stated that she has entered into compromise out of her own free will and without any pressure, coercion or threat. Respondent no. 2 further states she has no objection if the present FIR is quashed.

7. The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the affidavits showing the protection of interest of minor child, as per the judgment titled as ***Ganesh vs. Sudhirkumar Shrivastava: (2020) 20 SCC 787*** passed by the Hon'ble Supreme Court, have been filed and the same are on record.

8. In view of the above fact that the parties have amicably resolved their differences of their own free will, and without any coercion, no useful purpose will be served by continuing the proceedings, rather the same would create further acrimony between them. It would thus be in interest of justice to quash the abovementioned FIR and the proceedings pursuant thereto. There is no legal impediment in quashing the FIR in question.



9. Accordingly, FIR bearing no. 77/2024, registered at Police Station Neb Sarai, Delhi, for the commission of offences punishable under Sections 498A/406 of IPC and all consequential proceedings emanating therefrom are quashed.

10. In view of above, the present petition stands disposed of.

11. The order be uploaded on the website forthwith.

DR. SWARANA KANTA SHARMA, J

MAY 15, 2026/A/GJ