



\$~38

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ **W.P.(C) 1900/2026**
PIRAMAL FINANCE LIMITEDPetitioner
Through: Mr. Mukul Bhimani, Advocate
versus

SURINDER KUMAR JAIN & ORS.Respondents
Through:

CORAM:
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIVEK CHAUDHARY
HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE RAJNEESH KUMAR GUPTA

ORDER

% **11.02.2026**

1. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the amount directed to be deposited by way of pre-deposit is substantially lesser than the amount otherwise liable to be deposited.
2. It is submitted that, for the aforesaid purpose, the petitioner has already moved an appropriate application before the Debts Recovery Appellate Tribunal (DRAT).
3. Learned counsel, therefore, prays that the present writ petition be permitted to be withdrawn, with liberty to pursue the aforesaid application before the DRAT and to seek expeditious adjudication thereof.
4. Having regard to the submission made, we permit the petitioner to withdraw the present writ petition with liberty as prayed for.
5. We expect that the DRAT shall consider and decide the petitioner's pending application on the next date fixed or as expeditiously as possible thereafter, in accordance with law.
6. With the aforesaid observations, the writ petition stands



disposed of as withdrawn and is consigned to the record room.

VIVEK CHAUDHARY, J

RAJNEESH KUMAR GUPTA, J

FEBRUARY 11, 2026 /pr/kp/tr