



\$~96

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(CRL) 482/2026**

SH SHOBHIT PANDEY & ORS.Petitioners

Through: Petitioners with their counsel
(appearance not given).

versus

THE STATE OF NCT OF DELHI AND ANRRespondents

Through: Mr. Sanjay Lao, Standing Counsel for
the State.
R-2 with her counsel Mr. Himanshu
Buttan, Adv.

CORAM:
HON'BLE DR. JUSTICE SWARANA KANTA SHARMA

ORDER
09.02.2026

%

CRL.M.A. 4433/2026 (exemption)

1. Allowed, subject to all just exceptions.
2. Application stands disposed of.

W.P.(CRL) 482/2026

3. By way of the present wri petition, the petitioners are seeking quashing of FIR bearing no.399/2022, registered at Police Station Vasant Kunj (North), Delhi for the commission of offence punishable under Sections 498A/406/34 of Indian Penal Code, 1860 (hereafter 'IPC').
4. The petitioners and respondent no. 2 are present before this Court in person and have been identified by their counsels and concerned



Investigating Officer (IO) from Police Station Vasant Kunj (North), Delhi.

5. Brief facts of the present case are that the marriage between petitioner no. 1 and respondent no. 2 was solemnized on 10.02.2009, as per Hindu rites and customs. It is stated that one male child was born out of the said wedlock on 24.07.2010, who is presently in the custody of respondent no. 2. Due to temperamental differences, parties have been residing separately. It is stated that on the complaint of respondent no. 2, the present FIR was registered against the petitioners.

6. During pendency of the case, both the parties had amicably settled their disputes *vide* Memorandum of Understanding/Settlement Deed dated 05.01.2026. The complainant is present in person alongwith her counsel, who states that she has already received the remaining compromise amount from the petitioners.

7. This Court notes that the custody of minor children is with respondent no. 2 and the future right of the child will not be affected by virtue of this compromise.

8. On a query made by this Court, respondent no.2, who has been identified by the IO, has categorically stated that she has entered into compromise out of her own free will and without any pressure, coercion or threat. Respondent no. 2 further states she has no objection if the present FIR is quashed.

9. The learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the affidavits showing the protection of interest of minor child, as per the judgment titled as ***Ganesh vs. Sudhirkumar Shrivastava: (2020) 20 SCC 787*** passed by the Hon'ble Supreme Court, have been filed and the same are on record.

10. In view of the above fact that the parties have amicably resolved their



differences of their own free will, and without any coercion, no useful purpose will be served by continuing the proceedings, rather the same would create further acrimony between them. It would thus be in interest of justice to quash the abovementioned FIR and the proceedings pursuant thereto. There is no legal impediment in quashing the FIR in question.

11. Accordingly, FIR bearing no. 399/2022, registered at Police Station Vasant Kunj (North), Delhi for the commission of offence punishable under Sections 498A/406/34 of IPC and Section 4 of the DP Act and all consequential proceedings emanating therefrom are quashed.

12. The order be uploaded on the website forthwith.

DR. SWARANA KANTA SHARMA, J

FEBRUARY 09, 2026/A



This is a digitally signed order.

The authenticity of the order can be re-verified from Delhi High Court Order Portal by scanning the QR code shown above.

The Order is downloaded from the DHC Server on 13/02/2026 at 11:28:28