



\$~116

\* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**  
+ **W.P.(C) 1817/2026, CM APPL. 8800/2026 & CM APPL. 8801/2026**  
**XX** .....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Pratap Venugopal, Sr. Adv. with  
Ms. Surekha Raman, Mr. Yashwant  
Sanjenbam and Mr. Shreyash Kumar,  
Advs.

versus

**HERO FUTURE ENERGIES PVT LTD & ORS.** .....Respondents

Through: Mr. Sandeep Kapur, Adv, Ms. Rytim  
Vohra Ahuja, Adv and Ms. Srishti  
Aggarwal, Advs. for R-1&2.  
Mr. Rose Verma, Advocate for R-3.  
Mr. Yeeshu Jain ASC with Ms. Jyoti  
Tyagi, Ms. Vishruti Pandey, Mr.  
Sachin Garg Advocates for R-4 & 5.

**CORAM:**

**HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PURUSHAINDRA KUMAR KAURAV**

**ORDER**

% **09.02.2026**

1. Admittedly, there is an alternative remedy under Rule 11 of the Sexual Harassment of Women at Workplace (Prevention, Prohibition and Redressal) Act, 2013, against the impugned action. The petitioner, therefore, shall be at liberty to avail the said remedy.
2. If there is any limitation for such an appeal, the period which the petitioner has spent in availing in writ remedy, shall be excluded.
3. Leaving all rights and contentions open, the petition stands disposed of.

**PURUSHAINDRA KUMAR KAURAV, J**

**FEBRUARY 9, 2026/P**