



\$~35

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(C) 1793/2026**

DR. XXXXXXXXX & ANR.

.....Petitioners

Through: **Ms. Snigdha Singh and Mr. Kumar
Shashwat, Advs.**

versus

UNIVERSITY OF DELHI & ORS.

.....Respondents

Through: **Dr. Monika Arora, CGSC with Mr.
Subhrdeep Saha, Ms. Anamika
Thakur and Mr. Abhinav Verma and
Mr. Anurag Dayal Mathur, Advocates
for R-2 & 3.**

**Mr. Akash Karan Sinsinwar,
Advocate for R-5, 6 & 7.**

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PURUSHAINDRA KUMAR KAURAV

ORDER

%

18.05.2026

1. The petitioner has made various submissions and has stated that the entire inquiry is vitiated on many accounts, more particularly, since the allegations are against the Chairman of the governing body.
2. The Court, however, finds that the appeal against the Internal Complaints Committee's recommendations has not been decided by any of the authorities.
3. Under these peculiar circumstances, it would be appropriate to direct the Vice Chancellor to decide the appeal independently.
4. Let the decision be taken within a period of six weeks from the receipt of a copy of the order passed today.



5. If the grievance of the petitioners is not mitigated, the petitioner shall be at liberty to take appropriate recourse in accordance with law.
6. With these observations, petition stands disposed of.
7. All rights and contentions are left open.

PURUSHAINDRA KUMAR KAURAV, J

MAY 18, 2026/P