



\$~55

\* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ W.P.(CRL) 458/2026

AFROZ ALAM & ANR.

.....Petitioners

Through: Mr. Vinay Kumar and Mr. Varun Bhandari, Advs. along with the petitioners in person.

versus

THE STATE OF (GNCT OF DELHI) & ANR. ....Respondents

Through: Mr. Abhijeet Kumar, Adv. for Ms. Rupali Bandhopadhyia, ASC for State with SI Priyanka Saini, PS.: Bindapur  
Mr. Brijesh Panelal and Mr. Chinmay, Advs. for R-2 alongwith R-2 present in person.

**CORAM:**

**HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SAURABH BANERJEE**

**ORDER**

%

**16.03.2026**

1. By virtue of the present petition under *Article 226* of the Constitution of India read with *Section 528* of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (**BNSS**) and *Section 482* of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973, the petitioners seek quashing of FIR No.0617/2025 dated 02.10.2025 registered at PS.: Bindapur, Delhi under *Sections 74/78/115(2)/79/351(2)* of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023 (**BNS**) and all proceedings emanating therefrom, in view of the Compromise Deed dated 24.01.2026 [**Annexure P2**] arrived at between the petitioner no.1 and the respondent no.2, which is accompanied by their respective proofs of identities.

2. Issue notice. Mr. Abhijeet Kumar, learned counsel for Ms. Rupali Bandhopadhyia, ASC for State accepts notice, and records his objection to



the quashing of the aforesaid FIR.

3. Respondent no.2, present in Court, also accepts notice and affirms the terms of the aforesaid MoU dated 24.01.2026, and states that she has no objection to the quashing of the aforesaid FIR.

4. The petitioners and the respondent no.2, present in Court, as well as their credentials, as on record, have been identified by the Investigating Officer.

5. Facts disclose that a settlement has already been arrived voluntarily between the parties and the present petition is accompanied by their respective affidavit(s) to the aforesaid effect. In view thereof, the parties shall remain bound by all the terms and conditions of the settlement arrived *inter se* themselves. As such, following the law laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in *Jitendra Raghuvanshi & Ors. vs. Babita Raguvanshi & Anr. (2013) 4 SCC 58*, *Gian Singh vs. State of Punjab & Anr. (2012) 10 SCC 303* and *Narinder Singh & Ors. vs. State of Punjab & Anr. (2014) 6 SCC 466*, since there is nothing left to corroborate and prove the case of the prosecution, continuation of the aforesaid FIR against the petitioners will be an exercise in futility.

6. Accordingly, the present petition is allowed and FIR No.0617/2025 dated 02.10.2025 registered at PS.: Bindapur, Delhi under *Sections 74/78/115(2)/79/351(2)* of the BNS, as also all the proceedings emanating therefrom are hereby quashed.

7. Thus, the present petition is disposed of in the aforesaid terms.

**SAURABH BANERJEE, J.**

**MARCH 16, 2026/bh**