



\$~89

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ **W.P.(C) 1704/2026 & CM APPL. 8237/2026**
NANDINI YADAV

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Prabhat Singh Rana with Mr.
Deepu Kumar, Mr. Pratyansh Pandey, Ms.
Moulishree Pathak, Mr. Harnoor Singh Maidwan,
Mr. Divesh, Advs.

versus

REHABILITATION COUNCIL OF INDIA & ORS.

.....Respondent

Through: Mr Manish Kumar, Adv for R1-2
Mr. Suryadeep Singh, Adv. for R3

CORAM:
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASMEET SINGH

ORDER
16.02.2026

%

1. This is a writ petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India seeking the following prayers:-

“a. Issue a writ, order or direction in the nature of certiorari, or any other appropriate writ, order or direction, quashing and setting aside the decision/communication dated 30.12.2025, issued pursuant to the review meeting of Respondent No. 1, as communicated to the Petitioner through Respondent No. 3 vide mail dated 29.01.2026, whereby the request for correction of clerical error in the internal practical marks bearing subject code 02MDSN-P in course



Diploma in Education: Special Education (Multiple Disabilities), and publication of corrected result/marksheet has been rejected;

b. Issue a writ, order or direction in the nature of mandamus, or any other appropriate writ, order or direction:

i. Directing the Respondent No. 1, No. 2, and No. 3 to forthwith correct and rectify the admitted clerical error in the Petitioner's internal practical marks in the subject bearing Code 02MDSN-P in the course Diploma in Education: Special Education (Multiple Disabilities), by recording the correct marks as 39 instead of 29, and

ii. Directing the Respondents, specifically Respondent No. 1, No. 2, and No. 3, to issue a revised marksheet and the Diploma Certificate to the Petitioner for the course Diploma in Education: Special Education (Multiple Disabilities), effectively valid from the date of publication of the result i.e. 13.10.2025, forthwith along with all consequential benefits flowing therefrom, and

iii. Directing the Respondent No. 1 to issue Central Rehabilitation Registration to the to the Petitioner for the course Diploma in Education: Special Education (Multiple Disabilities), effectively valid from the date of publication of the result i.e. 13.10.2025, forthwith along with all consequential benefits flowing therefrom, and

iv. Directing the Respondent No. 1 and No. 2 to issue a



formal certificate/clarification stating that the Petitioner shall be treated as having successfully cleared the Diploma in Education: Special Education (Multiple Disabilities) examination with effect from the date of declaration of result, i.e., 13.10.2025, and that the Petitioner's qualification shall be deemed valid for all professional, legal and consequential purposes."

2. Respondent No. 1, Rehabilitation Council of India is a statutory body under respondent No. 5, with the objective of ensuring uniformity, minimum standards and qualify of education and training in the field of special education and disability rehabilitation. The respondent No.2, namely, National Board of Examination (NBE) was created as an adjunct body of the respondent No.1 for conducting examinations. The respondent No. 3, is an institute, duly affiliated and approved by the respondent No.1 for a 2-year Diploma Course.
3. The brief facts of the case are that the petitioner took admission at the Institute of Rehabilitation and Allied Studies (IRAS), Mathura, Uttar Pradesh. The petitioner cleared the First Year of the course with 816/1200 marks (68%) and was promoted to the Second Year. On 13.10.2025, her Second Year result was declared, wherein she was shown as failed in the practical subject "*02MDSN-P: Working with Groups with High Support Needs and Severe Disability*" for having secured 29/60 in internal assessment, one mark short of the minimum requirement.
4. On the same day, respondent No. 3 informed respondent No. 1 that due to a clerical error, 29 marks had been uploaded instead of 39



marks and requested correction. On 14.10.2025, respondent No. 3 issued a certificate confirming that the petitioner had in fact passed the II-Year examination and that the discrepancy was due to an uploading error already communicated for rectification.

5. Despite the same, the respondent Nos. 2 and 4 have not corrected the marks and hence, the present petition.
6. Mr. Kumar, learned counsel for the respondent Nos. 1 and 2, states that the results uploaded by the respondent No. 3 i.e., Annexure P-5 itself show the marks obtained by the petitioner as 29 marks and the subsequent document handed over in court, is the internal practical examination entry form – June 2025, which clearly shows overwriting and hence, the respondent Nos. 1 and 2 were unable to process the same.
7. Additionally, the respondent No. 4 is also required to certify the correct marks of the petitioner.
8. In the present case, the exam is conducted by the respondent No.3 which is the college. Learned counsel for respondent No. 3 states that the correct marks obtained by the petitioner in course titled 02MDSN-P is 39 marks and not 29 marks.
9. The same is also evident from the document handed over which shows that in case all the marks obtained by the petitioner are totalled, they total to 186, but actually the petitioner has obtained 196 marks clearly showing that in the document, the petitioner has obtained 39 marks and not 29 marks. The said document reads as under:-



10. The petitioner's career cannot be made to suffer on account of clerical errors of the Institute.
11. The respondent Nos. 1, 2 and 3 shall issue the revised mark sheets and the Diploma Certificate to the petitioner based on the fact that the petitioner has scored 39 marks in the course Diploma in Education: Special Education (Multiple Disabilities). For the said reasons, the petition is allowed followed by all consequential reliefs.
12. The Diploma shall be effective from 13.10.2025.
13. The petition is disposed of in the aforesaid terms.
14. The documents handed over in Court today are taken on record.

FEBRUARY 16, 2026 / (MS)

JASMEET SINGH, J