



\$~66

* IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI

+ W.P.(C) 1710/2026

REKHA GUPTA

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Nishant Kumar, Mr.
Anubhav Sharma, Mr. Gunjan
Sharma and Mr. Vishal
Majumdar, Advs.

versus

UNION PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION
& ANR.

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. R.V. Sinha, Mr. A.S. Singh
and Ms. Shriya Sharma, Advs.
for UPSC.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT MAHAJAN

ORDER

%

11.02.2026

**CM APPL. 8393/2026 [exemption from filing certified copies /
originals / clear / true typed copies of documents / annexures]**

1. Allowed, subject to all just exceptions.
2. Application stands disposed of.

**W.P.(C) 1710/2026 & CM APPL. 8394/2026 [for permission to file
the present Writ Petition]**

3. Through the present Writ Petition, the Petitioner prays as under:

*“A. Issue a Writ of Certiorari or any other appropriate Writ, Order
or Direction quashing the impugned Order dated 29.12.2023
passed by the Ld Central Administrative Tribunal, New Delhi
in OA No. 4174/2023;*

*B. Issue a Writ of Mandamus or any other Writ, Order or
Direction thereby directing the Respondent No. 1 to offer
appointment to the Petitioner being the selected candidate*



*along with all consequential reliefs;
C. Pass any other order or further order(s) as this Hon'ble Court
may deem fit and proper in the circumstances of the case."*

4. Briefly stated, the Petitioner is aggrieved that his result has been withheld on account of impugned order dated 29.12.2023, passed in O.A. 4174/2023, which was filed by the Respondent No.2 challenging cancellation of his candidature. By way of the impugned order, the learned Central Administrative Tribunal directed that selection of the last candidate shall be subject to final outcome of the Original Application.

5. It is undisputed that there is no stay on declaration of the Petitioner's result and selection, however, for no rhyme or reason, the result of the Petitioner has been kept in a sealed cover due to pendency of the Original Application.

6. We have heard the learned counsel representing the parties.

7. We see no reason as to why the result of the Petitioner is being kept in a sealed cover unless there is an order passed by any judicial authority to this effect. Hence, Respondent No.1 is directed to forthwith declare the result of the Petitioner.

8. In view of the above, the present petition along with the pending application is disposed of.

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J

AMIT MAHAJAN, J

FEBRUARY 11, 2026

'KDK'