



\$~58

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CRL.M.C. 989/2026**

RAMESH KUMAR & ORS.

.....Petitioner

Through: Counsel (appearance not given)
alongwith petitioners (in-person)

versus

STATE OF NCT OF DELHI & ANR.

.....Respondents

Through: Ms. Richa Dhawan, APP for the
State.
SI Suman Yadav, P.S.: Sarita Vihar.
Complainant (in-person)

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUP JAIRAM BHAMBHANI

ORDER

%

05.02.2026

CRL.M.A. 3873/2026 (exemption)

Exemption granted, subject to just exceptions.

Let requisite compliances be made within 01 week.

The application stands disposed-of.

CRL.M.C. 989/2026

By way of the present petition filed under section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure 1973, petitioners, who are husband and in-laws of the complainant/respondent No. 2, seek quashing of case FIR No.491/2015 dated 18.06.2015 registered under sections 498-A /406/34 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 at P.S.: Sarita Vihar, East District, Delhi.



2. The petition is premised on Memorandum of Understanding ('MoU') dated 08.10.2025 arrived between the parties.
3. The petition is supported by affidavits of the petitioners, as also of respondent No. 2, alongwith proof of their IDs.
4. The petitioners as well as respondent No. 2 are present in court. Their credentials have been verified and they have also been identified by their respective counsel.
5. The parties have confirmed that one child is born from the wedlock, who is major now and has been residing with his mother.
6. No appeal is stated to have been filed from the divorce decree.
7. The court has queried respondent No. 2, who confirms that no settlement amount is to be paid to respondent No.2 under the terms of the settlement deed. Respondent No. 2 confirms that all aspects of the settlement have now been performed.
8. Ms. Richa Dhawan, learned APP confirms that the State has no objection to the subject FIR being quashed.
9. In the circumstances, in line with the law laid down by the Supreme Court in ***Gian Singh vs. State of Punjab & Anr.*** reported as (2012) 10 SCC 303 as also in ***Narinder Singh & Ors. vs. State of Punjab & Anr.*** reported as (2014) 6 SCC 466, this court sees no reason why the subject FIR and all proceedings emanating therefrom should not be quashed. This court is of the view that in light of the settlement between the contesting parties, continuing with the subject FIR and all subsequent proceedings would be an exercise in futility and would not be conducive to peace and harmony between the parties.



10. Accordingly, case FIR No.491/2015 dated 18.06.2015 registered under sections 498-A /406/34 of the IPC at P.S.: Sarita Vihar, East District, Delhi is quashed. All proceedings arising therefrom also stand closed.
11. Petition stands disposed-of.
12. Pending applications, if any, also stand disposed-of.

ANUP JAIRAM BHAMBHANI, J

FEBRUARY 5, 2026

SS