



\$~1

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **FAO(OS) 14/2026, CM APPL. 7951/2026**

SMT. SUNITA CHAWLA (LEGAL HEIRS OF LATE SH. GULSHAN CHAWLA) & ORS.Appellants

Through: **Mr. Samrat Nigam, Sr.
Advocate with Mr. Kunal
Mittal, Mr. Sachin Aggarwal,
Ms. Arpita Rawat, Advs.**

versus

**MRS. KRISHNA CHAWLA (SINCE DECEASED)
THROUGH HER LEGAL HEIRS & ORS.Respondents**

Through: **Mr. Sachhin Puri, Sr. Advocate
with Mr. Harshit Sharma, Mr.
Krishna Shukla, Mr. Utkarsh
Soni, Mr. Puneet Sharma,
Advs.**

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIVEK CHAUDHARY

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE RENU BHATNAGAR

ORDER

% **10.02.2026**

1. The present appeal has been filed under Section 10 of Delhi High Court Act, 1966, read with Section 104 along with Order XLIII Rule 1 of the Code of Civil Procedure, 1908, and other appropriate provisions of the Code of Civil Procedure, 1908, for and on behalf of the appellants seeking setting aside the Judgment dated 13.01.2026 passed by learned Single judge of this Court in Chamber Appeal Bearing O.A. No. 50 of 2025 in C.S.(OS) No.49 of 2019.



2. Heard learned counsels for parties.
3. With the consent of parties, the following order is being passed.
4. The two of the defendants which are defendant nos. 3 and 5, who are substituted in place of mother, would only get a right to contest the suit, and the said substitution would not amount to declaration of any rights of the said two defendants in the properties of the deceased mother.
5. Further, it is also clarified that in case, if any Court Fees would be liable to be paid by any of the parties, the same shall be paid by the parties at the stage of execution.
6. In view of the above, the appeal shall stand disposed of.

VIVEK CHAUDHARY, J

RENU BHATNAGAR, J

FEBRUARY 10, 2026/neha/KA