



\$~21

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ CRL.M.C. 946/2026

VIKAS SHOKEEN @ VICKY

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Arun Khatri and Mr. Hitesh,
Advocates with petitioner in person.

versus

STATE (NCT OF DELHI) & ANR.

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Satish Kumar, APP with Mr.
Aditya Vikram Singh, Ms. Upasana
Bakshi and Ms. Divya Bakshi,
Adv.

SI Sangheeta and SI Lal Chand, PS-
S.B. Dairy.

Mr. Tushar Rohintra and Mr.
Anubha Sharma, Advocates for R-2
with R-2 in person (Through VC).

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SAURABH BANERJEE

ORDER

09.04.2026

%

1. By virtue of the present petition *Section 528* of the Bharatiya
Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (**BNSS**), the petitioner seeks quashing of
FIR No.329/2021 dated 28.06.2021 registered at PS.: Shahbad Dairy,
Delhi under *Section 498A/406/34* of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 (**IPC**)
and all proceedings emanating therefrom, in view of the Settlement Deed
dated 01.11.2023 [**Annexure B**] arrived between the petitioner and the
respondent no.2, which is accompanied by their respective proofs of
identities.

2. Issue notice. Learned APP for the State accepts notice and submits
that he has no objection to the quashing of the aforesaid FIR.

3. Respondent no.2, present through video conferencing, also accepts



notice and affirms the terms of the aforesaid Deed of Settlement dated 01.11.2023 and as such, she has no objection to the quashing of the aforesaid FIR.

4. The petitioner and the respondent no.2, present in Court, as well as their credentials, as on record, have been identified by the Investigating Officer.

5. Facts disclose that a settlement has already been arrived voluntarily between the petitioner no.1 and the respondent no.2 and the present petition is accompanied by their respective affidavit(s). In view thereof, the parties shall remain bound by all the terms and conditions of the settlement arrived *inter se* themselves. As such, following the law laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in ***Jitendra Raghuvanshi & Ors. vs. Babita Raguvanshi & Anr. (2013) 4 SCC 58***, ***Gian Singh vs. State of Punjab & Anr. (2012) 10 SCC 303*** and ***Narinder Singh & Ors. vs. State of Punjab & Anr. (2014) 6 SCC 466***, since there is nothing left to corroborate and prove the case of the prosecution, continuation of the aforesaid FIR against the petitioners will be an exercise in futility.

6. Accordingly, the present petition is allowed and FIR No.329/2021 dated 28.06.2021 registered at PS.: Shahbad Dairy, Delhi under *Section(s) 498A/406/34* of the IPC and all proceedings emanating therefrom are hereby quashed.

7. Thus, the present petition, along with the pending applications, is disposed of in the aforesaid terms.

SAURABH BANERJEE, J

APRIL 9, 2026/NA