



\$~74

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CRL.M.C. 934/2026, CRL.M.A. 3702/2026**

VISHWA RINKUL SINGH & ORS.

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Pravesh Kumar and Mr. Rahul
Pandey, Advocates with petitioners
in person.

versus

THE STATE (NCT OF DELHI) & ANR.

.....Respondent

Through: Mr. Raghuinder Verma, APP for
the State with Mr. Aditya Vikram
Singh, Advocate with SI
Vishvendra Singh, ASI Kailash, PS:
Mayur Vihar.
Ms. Mamta Rani, Advocate for R-2
with R-2 in person.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SAURABH BANERJEE

ORDER

04.02.2026

%

26. By virtue of the present petition under *Section 528* of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (**BNSS**), the petitioners seek quashing of FIR No.434/2018 dated 19.11.2018 registered at PS: Mayur Vihar under *Sections 498A/406/34* of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 (**IPC**) and all proceedings emanating therefrom, in view of the Settlement dated 14.09.2023 arrived at between the petitioners and the respondent no.2 before the Counselling Cell, East District, Karkardooma Courts, Delhi.

27. The present petition is accompanied by the said Settlement dated 14.09.2023 [**Annexure A2**] alongwith the respective proofs of identity of



the private parties.

28. Issue notice.

29. Learned APP for the State accepts notice. He submits that he has no objection to the quashing of the aforesaid FIR No.434/2018 dated 19.11.2018.

30. Respondent no.2, present in Court, also accepts notice and confirms that the marriage of the petitioner no.1 and respondent no.2 has since been dissolved by virtue of the Decree of Divorce dated 15.12.2023 by mutual consent. She further affirms the terms of the Settlement dated 14.09.2023, whereby the petitioner no.1 had agreed to pay Rs.12,00,000/- as the full and final settlement of all her claims including alimony, maintenance (present, past and future), etc. She submits that petitioner no.1 has already paid her a sum of Rs.8,00,000/- (***Rupees Eight Lakhs Only***) and today, she has received DD No.432805 dated 29.01.2026 for the remaining amount of Rs.4,00,000/- drawn on SBI, Saket, Meerut as well. She further states that she has no objection to the quashing of FIR No.434/2018 dated 19.11.2018.

31. Further, the petitioners and the respondent no.2, present in Court, as well as their credentials, as on record, have been identified by the IO.

32. In view of the fact that a Settlement has already been arrived *inter se* the parties, they shall remain bound by all the terms and conditions contained therein. As such, following the law laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in ***Jitendra Raghuvanshi & Ors. vs. Babita Raghuvanshi & Anr. (2013) 4 SCC 58***, ***Gian Singh vs. State of Punjab & Anr. (2012) 10 SCC 303*** and ***Narinder Singh & Ors. vs. State of Punjab & Anr. (2014) 6 SCC 466***, this Court is of the opinion that continuation of the



aforesaid FIR No.434/2018 dated 19.11.2018 will be an exercise in futility.

33. Accordingly, the present petition is allowed and FIR No.434/2018 dated 19.11.2018 registered at PS: Mayur Vihar under *Sections 498A/406/34* of the IPC, and all proceedings emanating therefrom, are quashed.

34. Accordingly, the petition alongwith pending application is disposed of in the aforesaid terms.

SAURABH BANERJEE, J

FEBRUARY 4, 2026/NA