



\$~75

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CRL.M.C. 948/2026**

SUNIL SURI & ANR.

.....Petitioners

Through: Mr. Rahul Madan with Mr. Siddhant Soti, Mr. Ujjwal Tyagi, Advocates and petitioner No.1 in court.
P-2 via video-conferencing.

versus

STATE NCT OF DELHI AND ANR

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Shoaib Haider, APP for the State. SI Manisha, P.S.: Punjabi Bagh. Mr. Vikram Dua with Mr. Vinod Kumar, Mr. Deepak Kumar Singh, Advocates for R2.
R-2 in court.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUP JAIRAM BHAMBHANI

ORDER

%

04.02.2026

CRL.M.A. 3762/2026

Exemption granted, subject to just exceptions.

The application stands disposed-of.

CRL.M.C. 948/2026

By way of the present petition filed under section 528 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita 2023, the petitioners and complainant/respondent No. 2, seek quashing of case FIR No. 542/2025 dated 10.09.2025 registered under section 75 of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023 ('BNS') at P.S.: Punjabi Bagh, West Delhi.



2. The petition is premised on Memorandum of Settlement dated 18.01.2026, whereby the petitioners and respondent No. 2 have resolved the matter amicably.
3. The petition is also supported by affidavits of the petitioners and of respondent No. 2, alongwith proof of their IDs.
4. Petitioner No.1 and respondent No.2 are present in court and petitioner No.2 has joined *via* video-conferencing. Their credentials have been verified and they have also been identified by their respective counsel.
5. The court has interacted with respondent No.2, as also with the petitioners, who have confirmed that they have now resolved the matter and a Memorandum of Settlement dated 18.01.2026 has been signed by them closing all issues amicably. Parties now wish to live in peace and harmony going forward.
6. Mr. Shoaib Haider, learned APP confirms that the State has no objection to the subject FIR being quashed.
7. In the circumstances, in line with the law laid down by the Supreme Court in ***Gian Singh vs. State of Punjab & Anr.*** reported as (2012) 10 SCC 303 as also in ***Narinder Singh & Ors. vs. State of Punjab & Anr.*** reported as (2014) 6 SCC 466, this court sees no reason why the subject FIR and all proceedings emanating therefrom should not be quashed. This court is of the view that in light of the settlement between the contesting parties, continuing with the subject FIR and all subsequent proceedings would be an exercise in futility and would not be conducive to peace and harmony between the parties.



8. While allowing the petition however, this court considers it appropriate, that by way of atonement, *each petitioner* shall pay costs of Rs.25,000/- to Friendicoes SECA, No.271 & 273, Defence Colony Flyover Market, Jungpura, New Delhi within 04 weeks.
9. *Subject to* the aforesaid condition, case FIR No. 542/2025 dated 10.09.2025 registered under section 75 of the BNS at P.S.: Punjabi Bagh, West Delhi is quashed. All proceedings arising therefrom also stand closed.
10. Petitioner is directed to place on record the proof of payment of costs.
11. The Registry is directed to re-list the matter if costs are not paid as directed.
12. Petition stands disposed-of.
13. Pending applications, if any, also stand disposed-of.

ANUP JAIRAM BHAMBHANI, J

FEBRUARY 4, 2026
ds