



\$~37

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(C) 1583/2023 and CM APPL. 5974/2023**

INSTITUTE OF COMPANY SECRETARIES OF INDIA (ICSI)

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Sangram Patnaik,
Mr.Swayamsidha Patnaik, Mr.Shivam
Pal Sharma, Mr.Kunal Malhotra,
Advocates

versus

SUDHIR KUMAR BANTHIYA AND ORS

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Rahul Malhotra, Ms. Sneha
Aggarwal, Ms. Manika Mudgal,
Advocates for R-1.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PURUSHAINDRA KUMAR KAURAV

ORDER

%

09.02.2026

1. Keeping in mind the subsequent developments, which have taken place, there does not seem to be any grievance against the private respondent.
2. Mr. Sangram Patnaik, learned counsel, however submits that the issue has a wider ramification, and therefore, will affect the overall administration of the petitioner.
3. In view thereof, the Court takes note of its earlier order dated 07.02.2023 and finds that the Court had made it clear that the impugned order shall not be treated as a precedent and the members of the Institute



would continue to be bound by the relevant ICSI Regulations and Rules.

4. Making the aforesaid observation absolute, the Court leaves the question of law involved herein open and to be adjudicated in an appropriate case.

5. With the aforesaid observations, the instant petition stands disposed of along with all pending applications.

PURUSHAINDRA KUMAR KAURAV, J

FEBRUARY 9, 2026

Nc/ksr