



\$~80

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CONT.CAS(C) 202/2026**

S S BANKERS ASSOCIATION

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Sushil Kumar Sharma, Mr. Ankur
and Mr. Virendra Kumar, Advocates.

versus

UNION OF INDIA AND ORS

.....Respondents

Through: Ms. Azal Aekram, Advocate.
Mr. Vijay Joshi, CGSC.
Mr. Aditya Kumar and Ms. Ila Nath,
Adv. for BOI.
Mr. Kuldeep Singh Parihar and Ms.
Ishita Parihar, Advocates for RBI.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SACHIN DATTA

ORDER

03.02.2026

%

1. At the outset, at the request of learned counsel for the petitioner, the respondent no.2/Reserve Bank of India (RBI) is deleted from the array of parties. Further, the Bank of India (BOI) is impleaded as a respondent in the present petition.
2. Let an amended memo of parties be filed by the petitioner within a period of one week from today.
3. Issue notice.
4. Learned counsel, as aforesaid, accept notice on behalf of the respondent/s.
5. During the course of hearing, it transpires that all the concerned banks, except BOI, have complied with the directions contained in the order dated 13.11.2025 in W.P.(C). 3282/2017 (of which contempt is alleged in



the present proceedings).

6. Mr. Vipin Jha, learned counsel appearing for the respondent/BOI submits that a Special Leave Petition (SLP) had been preferred by the said bank against the aforesaid judgment/order dated 13.11.2025, however, the same was dismissed by the Supreme Court.

7. It is submitted that the respondent/BOI shall also take appropriate steps to comply with the directions contained in the aforesaid judgment/order dated 13.11.2025. The said statement is taken on record.

8. In the circumstances, no further orders are required to be passed in the present petition, the same is, accordingly, disposed of.

SACHIN DATTA, J

FEBRUARY 3, 2026/at