



\$~7

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ **BAIL APPLN. 454/2026**
VIPIN PATHAKPetitioner

Through: Mr. Raunak Parekh, Advocate.

versus

STATE OF NCT OF DELHI AND ANR.Respondent

Through: Mr. Sunil Kumar Gautam, APP with
SI Rahul Rathi.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ JAIN

ORDER

% **28.04.2026**

1. Learned counsel for applicant submits that prosecution strongly relies upon the CCTV footage but fact remains that so far, no such CCTV footage has been provided to accused persons whereas, the case is already at the stage of trial.
2. Learned APP for the State, on instructions from IO, submits that the concerned DVR has been sent to FSL for analysis and, therefore, perhaps it could not be supplied.
3. He, however, submits that in any case, since the charge-sheet has already been filed and since the complainant has also been examined in part, every effort would be made to provide CCTV footage to the applicant/accused within three weeks from today.
4. The concerned Director, FSL is directed to expedite the forensic examination so that there is no delay in the ongoing trial, particularly when the applicant herein is in custody for the last 1 ½ years and the trial is not proceeding for want of the abovesaid electronic evidence.
5. Learned counsel for the applicant, in view of the above, does not press his application at this stage and seeks liberty to file it afresh at a subsequent



stage.

6. In view of the above, the application is disposed of, as not pressed.
7. Liberty, as prayed, is granted.
8. All rights and contentions are left open.
9. A copy of this order be sent to learned Trial Court for information and due compliance.
10. A copy of this order be given *dasti* under the signatures of the Court Master to IO for placing before the Director, FSL.

MANOJ JAIN, J

APRIL 28, 2026/sw/js