



\$~32

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ O.M.P.(MISC.)(COMM.) 124/2026

RAMKY INFRASTRUCTURE LIMITED

.....Petitioner

Through: Ms. Bhabna Das, Mr. Krishna Dev J
& Mr. Vishnu Kanth, Advs.

versus

ROAD CONSTRUCTION DEPARTMENT

.....Respondent

Through: Counsel (appearance not given).

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN

ORDER

01.04.2026

%

1. This is a petition for extension of the mandate of the arbitral tribunal under Section 29A of the Arbitration & Conciliation Act, 1996 (for short '1996 Act').

2. The parties in consonance with the arbitration clause in General Conditions of Contract (for short 'GCC') referred the dispute for arbitration. The arbitrator on the first preliminary hearing on 22.09.2023 fixed the seat of arbitration at Delhi and left the venue to be decided from time to time. The relevant portion of order is reproduced below:

“6. **Seat of Arbitration:** The seat of Arbitration shall be at Delhi. The venue of arbitration shall be decided with Parties from time to time. The language of arbitration proceedings shall be English.”

3. Learned counsel for the non-applicant contends that as per the 20.6 of the GCC, the seat of arbitration was to be Ranchi, Jharkhand therefore this



Court does not have territorial jurisdiction however fairly contends that till date the fixation of seat of arbitration at Delhi has not been challenged and seeks liberty to avail remedies in accordance with law.

4. Considering that undisputedly the seat as on date of arbitration is at Delhi and therefore this Court has jurisdiction to extend the mandate as per Section 29A of the 1996 Act.

5. For the reasons mentioned therein, the mandate is extended by one year.

6. The petition is disposed of.

AVNEESH JHINGAN, J

APRIL 1, 2026

'JK'