



\$~63

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ CRL.M.C. 697/2026, CRL.M.A. 2781/2026

LALIT KUMAR & ORS.Petitioners

Through: Petitioners in-person.

versus

THE STATE OF NCT OF DELHI & ANR.Respondents

Through: Mr. Raghvinder Verma, APP for the
State.

SI Rajesh Kumar, P.S.: Nihal Vihar.
R-2 in-person.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUP JAIRAM BHAMBHANI

ORDER

%

28.01.2026

CRL.M.A. 2780/2026 (exemption)

Exemption granted, subject to just exceptions.

The application stands disposed-of.

CRL.M.C. 697/2026

By way of the present petition filed under section 528 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita 2023, the petitioners, who are the former husband and in-laws of the complainant/respondent No. 2, seek quashing of case FIR No. 0003/2020 dated 03.01.2020 registered under sections 498-A/406/34 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 ('IPC') at P.S.: Nihal Vihar, Outer District, Delhi.



2. The petition is premised on Settlement dated 08.05.2024 arrived at through counselling before the Counselling Cell, Principal Judge, Family Court West District, Tis Hazari Court, Delhi between the petitioner No.1 and respondent No.2.
3. The petition is supported by affidavits of the petitioners, as also of respondent No. 2, alongwith proof of their IDs.
4. The petitioners as well as respondent No. 2 are present in court. Their credentials have been verified and they have also been identified by their respective counsel.
5. The parties have confirmed that one child, viz. Divyanka, was born from the wedlock, who is major as of date.
6. The parties are stated to have resolved all their disputes amicably and in view of the settlement, respondent No.2 has re-joined the company of petitioner No. 1 on 02.06.2024 and they have been living together since, alongwith their only daughter.
7. The court has queried Ms. Pushpa, respondent No. 2, who confirms that a compromise deed has been entered into between the parties and that petitioner No.1 is adhering to the terms of the settlement.
8. Mr. Raghvinder Verma, learned APP confirms that the State has no objection to the subject FIR being quashed.
9. In the circumstances, in line with the law laid down by the Supreme Court in **Gian Singh vs. State of Punjab &Anr.** reported as (2012) 10 SCC 303 as also in **Narinder Singh &Ors. vs. State of Punjab & Anr.** reported as (2014) 6 SCC 466, this court sees no reason why the subject FIR and all proceedings emanating therefrom should not be quashed. This court is of the view that in light of the settlement



between the contesting parties, continuing with the subject FIR and all subsequent proceedings would be an exercise in futility and would not be conducive to peace and harmony between the parties.

10. Accordingly, FIR No. 0003/2020 dated 03.01.2020 registered under sections 498-A/406/34 of IPC at P.S.: Nihal Vihar, Outer District, Delhi is quashed. All proceedings arising therefrom also stand closed.
11. Petition stands disposed-of.
12. Pending applications, if any, also stand disposed-of.

ANUP JAIRAM BHAMBHANI, J

JANUARY 28, 2026/ak