



\$~10

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **BAIL APPLN. 369/2026 & CRL.M.A. 2869/2026**

RAJU RAWAT

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Vimal Tyagi, Ms. Annu, Mr.
Manish Kumawat, Advocates.

versus

STATE NCT OF DELHI

.....Respondent

Through: Mr. Yudhvir Singh Chauhan, APP.
SI Nitesh Singh, PS-Mukherjee
Nagar.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PRATEEK JALAN

ORDER

%

02.04.2026

1. By way of the present application, the applicant seeks grant of regular bail in connection with FIR No. 473/2024 dated 24.06.2024, registered at Police Station Mukherjee Nagar, District North West, New Delhi, under Sections 304B, 498A, and 34 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860.

2. At the outset, Mr. Yudhvir Singh Chauhan, learned Additional Public Prosecutor for the State, submits that although charges have been framed, the evidence of the prosecution witnesses, including the family members of the deceased, is yet to be recorded. He further submits that, out of the 18 witnesses cited by the prosecution, only two – being the mother and brother of the deceased – are material witnesses, and they have already been summoned for the next date of hearing before the



learned Sessions Court.

3. In view of the aforesaid submissions, Mr. Vimal Tyagi, learned counsel for the applicant, does not press the present bail application at this stage, without prejudice to the rights and remedies available to the applicant before the learned Sessions Court, at the appropriate stage. He, however, seeks appropriate directions for expeditious conduct of the proceedings before the learned Sessions Court and submits that an application for early hearing shall be filed before the said Court.

4. Accordingly, the present bail application is dismissed as not pressed, without prejudice to the applicant's right to approach the learned Sessions Court at the appropriate stage. The learned Sessions Court is requested to expedite the proceedings, to the extent possible. In the event that the recording of prosecution evidence is inordinately delayed, it shall be open to the applicant to move the learned Sessions Court afresh, in accordance with law.

5. It is made clear that this Court has not expressed any opinion on the merits of any application that the applicant may file in the future.

PRATEEK JALAN, J

APRIL 2, 2026

'Bhupi'/SD/