



\$~39

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(C) 1066/2026**

KISHAN MEDHI PROP. S.S. ENTERPRISEPETITIONER

Through: **Mr. Shikhar Garg, Advocate**

versus

DELHI GOODS AND SERVICE TAX DGST

WARD 64 ZONE 4 DELHI AND ANRRESPONDENTS

Through: **Ms. Urvi Mohan, Advocate for
GNCTD/R-1**

**Mr. Akash Panwar, JSC with Mr.
Lakshay Nagpal, Advocate for R-2**

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE NITIN WASUDEO SAMBRE

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY DIGPAUL

ORDER

%

27.01.2026

1. On instructions, a prayer for withdrawal is made.
2. That being so, the writ petition stands dismissed as withdrawn.
3. However, this withdrawal will not preclude the petitioner from submitting a detailed explanation, as desired, in response to the notice issued by the respondent under Section 29 of the Central Goods and Services Tax Act, 2017.
4. The issue as regards retrospective cancellation can be appreciated having regard to the explanation that the petitioner will be placing on record.



5. Pending application, if any, also stands disposed of.

NITIN WASUDEO SAMBRE, J

AJAY DIGPAUL, J

JANUARY 27, 2026/pr/ss