



\$~8

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ **BAIL APPLN. 322/2026**
ANISH

.....Petitioner
Through: Mr. Lal Singh Thakur with Mr. Sudhir
Tewatia, Mr. Lokesh Solunki, Ms.
Kavya, Mr. Ankush Saini, Mr. Naman
Choudhary, Mr. Madhur Tewatia,
Advocates.

versus

STATE OF NCT OF DELHI

.....Respondent
Through: Mr. Sunil Kumar Gautam, APP with
SI Azad Singh.

CORAM:
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ JAIN

ORDER
24.04.2026

%

1. The applicant seeks regular bail in case arising out of FIR No. 0293/2023 dated 09.12.2023, registered at P.S. Special Cell, for commission of offences under Sections 186/353/307/34 IPC and Sections 25/27 of Arms Act, 1959.
2. The applicant was arrested on 09.12.2023 and is in continuous custody since then.
3. Charges have been ascertained but so far, no witness has entered into witness box.
4. As per the case of the prosecution, a secret information was received

BAIL APPLN. 322/2026

1



that one shooter of a notorious gang, along with his associate, would come in the area of Vasant Kunj, for committing some crime. The raiding party was, accordingly, prepared and several police officials, while wearing bulletproof jackets, departed for the spot.

5. As per the prosecution, there were brief encounter and, thereafter, the assailants were apprehended. There was exchange of fire, in which, three bullets were fired, which hit bulletproof jackets of the police officials.

6. Fact, however, remains that no police officials was, actually, injured in the abovesaid incident.

7. The applicant and his co-accused were arrested for offences under Sections 186/353/307/34 IPC and Sections 25/27 of Arms Act, 1959.

8. Such co-accused was found to be juvenile and proceedings qua him were submitted before the concerned *Juvenile Justice Board*.

9. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that the trial has yet not begun and there is no likelihood of it being completed in near future as no witness has so far entered into witness box. He submits that the applicant is hardly 26 years of age and is already behind the bars for last more than 29 months.

10. As per Nominal Roll, there are two other cases and if the facts mentioned in the Nominal Roll are assumed to be correct, the applicant is in judicial custody in two other cases. Learned counsel for applicant, however, submits that as per the information made available to them, the applicant is on bail in all other matters.

11. Be that as it may, keeping in mind the overall facts of the case, young age of the applicant and the period of the incarceration coupled with the fact that the trial has yet not begun, *albeit*, without expressing any opinion over



the merits of the case, the applicant is, hereby, directed to be released on bail on his furnishing personal bond and surety bond in a sum of Rs. 25,000/- each subject to the satisfaction of concerned Trial Court/Chief Judicial Magistrate/Duty Judicial Magistrate First Class with the following conditions: -

- I. Applicant shall not try to contact any witness, directly or indirectly.
 - II. Applicant shall furnish a mobile number to concerned IO on which he can be contacted, if required. Such number shall remain operational and functional.
 - III. Residential address of the applicant shall be got verified, before releasing him on bail.
12. Application stands disposed of in aforesaid terms.
13. A copy of this Order be immediately sent to the learned Trial Court and Jail Superintendent for information and necessary compliance.

MANOJ JAIN, J

APRIL 24, 2026/sw/js



This is a digitally signed order.

The authenticity of the order can be re-verified from Delhi High Court Order Portal by scanning the QR code shown above.

The Order is downloaded from the DHC Server on 25/04/2026 at 12:17:46