



\$~9

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ CRL.M.C. 651/2026

SHRI ARVIN MANN & ORS.

.....Petitioners

Through: Mr. Sudhir Vats, Advocate alongwith
petitioners in person

versus

THE STATE NCT OF DELHI AND ANR.

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Naresh Kumar Chahar, APP for
the State with SI Satish Kumar, SI Lal
Chand, P.S. S. B. Dairy
R-2 in person

CORAM:

HON'BLE DR. JUSTICE SWARANA KANTA SHARMA

ORDER

%

25.02.2026

CRL.M.A. 2583/2026 (exemption)

1. Allowed, subject to all just exceptions.
2. Application stands disposed of.

CRL.M.C. 651/2026 & CRL.M.A. 2582/2026

3. By way of the present petition, the petitioners seek quashing of FIR bearing no. 410/2016, registered at Police Station Shahbad Dairy, Delhi, for the commission of offence punishable under Sections 328/498A/34 of Indian Penal Code, 1860 (hereafter 'IPC') and the proceedings arising out of the same.
4. The petitioners and respondent no. 2 are present before this Court and have been identified by their counsels and concerned Investigating Officer



(IO) from Police Station Shahbad Dairy, Delhi.

5. Brief facts of the present case are that the marriage between the petitioner no. 1 and respondent no. 2 was solemnized on 14.01.2013, as per Hindu rites and customs at Delhi. It is stated that one female child was born out of the said wedlock, who is presently in the custody of respondent no. 2. It is stated that due to temperamental differences, disputes had arisen between the parties and both the parties started residing separately since 16.05.2016. On the complaint of respondent no. 2 before the CAW Cell, New Delhi, the present FIR was registered against the petitioners.

6. During the pendency of the case, with the intervention of family friends, relatives and well wishers, both the parties amicably settled their disputes before Mediation Centre, Rohini Courts, Delhi *vide* Settlement Agreement dated 24.09.2025. Thereafter, both the parties had dissolved their marriage by way of mutual consent before the concerned Court.

7. The respondent no. 2 is present in person alongwith her counsel, who states that she has received an amount of Rs.5,00,000/- by way of Demand Draft bearing no.994397 dated 21.02.2026, drawn on State Bank of India, Khara Khurd Branch, which she was to receive at the time of quashing of the FIR.

8. This Court notes that the custody of minor child is with respondent no. 2 and the future rights of the child will not be affected by virtue of this compromise.

9. On a query made by this Court, respondent no. 2, who has been identified by the IO, has categorically stated that she has entered into compromise out of her own free will and without any pressure, coercion or threat. Respondent no. 2 further states she has no objection if the present



FIR is quashed.

10. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submits that the affidavits showing the protection of interest of minor child, as per the judgment titled as ***Ganesh vs. Sudhirkumar Shrivastava: (2020) 20 SCC 787*** passed by the Hon'ble Supreme Court, have been filed and the same are on record.

11. In view of the above fact that the parties have amicably resolved their differences of their own free will, and without any coercion, no useful purpose will be served by continuing the proceedings, rather the same would create further acrimony between them. It would thus be in interest of justice to quash the abovementioned FIR and the proceedings pursuant thereto. There is no legal impediment in quashing the FIR in question.

12. Accordingly, FIR bearing no. 410/2016, registered at Police Station Shahbad Dairy, Delhi, for the commission of offence punishable under Sections 328/498A/34 of IPC and all consequential proceedings emanating therefrom are quashed.

13. In view of above, the present petition alongwith pending application, if any, stands disposed of.

14. The order be uploaded on the website forthwith.

DR. SWARANA KANTA SHARMA, J

FEBRUARY 25, 2026/ns/ R