



\$~7

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ W.P.(C) 1034/2026 and CM APPL. 5018/2026, CM APPL. 5999/2026

SHANKAR AGARWAL

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Ankur Mahindro Adv, Mr. Rohan Taneja Adv, Mr. Animesh Dubey Adv, Ms. Radhika Agrawal Adv.

versus

NATIONAL HIGHWAYS AND INFRASTRUCTURE
EVELOPMENT CORPORATION LTD

.....Respondent

Through: Ms. Nivedita Chauhan, Ms. Komal Singh, Ms. Tanvi Mahajan and Ms. Rakhi Pandey, Advs.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PURUSHAINDR KUMAR KAURAV

ORDER

%

29.01.2026

1. During the course of hearing, without going into the aspect of the territorial jurisdiction and availability of the alternative remedy etc., the submissions on behalf of the respondent is placed on record who contends, on instructions, that the respondents are amenable for reconsideration of the impugned decision, and if the petitioner satisfies sufficient cause, an appropriate fresh decision shall be taken without being influenced by the earlier decision.

2. The aforesaid submission seems to be reasonable. Accordingly, the petition, along with pending applications, stands disposed of with the observation that the respondents shall afford the opportunity of hearing to



the petitioner afresh and shall consider the case in accordance with extant rules and regulations without being influenced by the earlier decision. Let the said exercise be carried out with due expedition. All rights and contentions are left open.

3. If the petitioner's grievance is not fully mitigated, he shall be at liberty to take appropriate steps in accordance with law.

PURUSHAINdra KUMAR KAURAV, J

JANUARY 29, 2026

aks/mj