



\$~38

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ **BAIL APPLN. 276/2026**
ABHISHEK

.....Petitioner
Through: Mr. Shatrughan Singh, Advocate.

versus

STATE (GOVT OF NCT OF DELHI)

.....Respondent
Through: Mr. Ajay Vikram Singh, APP with
ASI Ravinder Singh.

CORAM:
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ JAIN

ORDER
29.01.2026

%

1. Applicant is seeking anticipatory bail in FIR No.0984/2025 dated 25.10.2025, for commission of offences under Sections 109(1)/3(5) BNS 2023, (corresponding Sections 307/34 IPC) registered at P.S. Burari.
2. A cursory look at the averments appearing in the FIR would indicate that on the relevant date, the complainant-Ashok Yadav was beaten up by accused-Rohit along with the co-accused persons, namely Karan, Krishn @ Kallu and Prem. Accused-Rohit exhorted his associates saying that '*today he (Ashok) should not be spared*' and, thereafter, co-accused-Karan took out a knife and stabbed the complainant with the intention to kill him, and other co-accused i.e. Rohit, along with Krishn @ Kallu and Prem, assaulted him with *lathis* and *dandas* repeatedly.

BAIL APPLN. 276/2026

1



3. Complainant tried to stop them but accused-Rohit exhorted his associates to continue to beat him. After assaulting, all the accused left from the spot and, in the same very line, the complainant averred that, when the accused persons had left from the spot, they were accompanied by some other associates, one of whom was Abhishek. He is the present applicant.

4. Undoubtedly, though Abhishek is named in the FIR, fact remains that no specific role of Abhishek has been ascribed in the entire FIR.

5. Learned Counsel for applicant submits that, earlier, when the anticipatory bail plea was pending adjudication before the learned Court of Sessions, the applicant was granted interim relief for around nine days and, after such relief, he participated in the investigation and Police had also interrogated him but nothing could be recovered. However, thereafter, his such application for anticipatory bail was dismissed and this is how he is compelled to file the present application before this Court.

6. Learned APP for the State, on instructions from IO, submits that the chargesheet has already been filed before the concerned Court and, as and when, applicant is arrested, a supplementary chargesheet would be filed. He also submits that recovery of one of the weapons of offence i.e. *danda*, is yet to be made at the instance of the applicant herein.

7. The applicant is stated to be of nineteen years of age, with no previous involvement.

8. Keeping in mind the overall facts of the case, the application is allowed with the following conditions:-

- i. The applicant would join the investigation, as and when so directed by the concerned SHO/IO.
- ii. In case he does not co-operate, he would, automatically, become



disentitled to the relief granted by this Court.

iii. In the event of his arrest, he be released on bail by arresting officer upon his furnishing personal bond and surety bond in a sum of Rs. 25,000/- each.

9. Application stands disposed of in aforesaid terms.

MANOJ JAIN, J

JANUARY 29, 2026/sw/pb