



\$~56

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(C) 890/2026**

KULDEEP SINGH

.....Petitioner

Through: **Mr. Rajesh Pandey, Advocate.**

versus

**MUNICIPAL CORPORATION
OF DELHI & ORS.**

.....Respondents

Through: **Mr. Tushar Sannu, Standing Counsel
for MCD with Mr. Umesh Kumar,
Advocate.**

**Mr. Lalltaksh Joshi & Mr. Sameer
Gupta, Advocates for GNCTD.**

**Mr. Kanishk Kharbanda, Senior Panel
Counsel along with Ms. Rupali, GP
for Respondent No. 3/UOI.**

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT BANSAL

ORDER

% **22.01.2026**

**CM APPL. 4371/2026 (seeking exemption from filing certified/ translated
copies of documents)**

1. Allowed subject to all just exceptions.

W.P.(C) 890/2026

2. The present writ petition has been filed by the petitioner aggrieved by the fact that a cow belonging to the Petitioner has been seized and taken away by MCD.

3. At the outset, counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner does not press for the relief sought in prayer clause (d) in the writ petition



and confines his reliefs to prayer clauses (a), (b) and (c).

4. It is stated that the petitioner has made a representation dated 24th November, 2025 to MCD, which is yet to be replied.

5. Accordingly, the present writ petition is disposed of with a direction that respondent/ MCD shall decide the aforesaid representation after giving an opportunity for personal hearing to the petitioner.

AMIT BANSAL, J

JANUARY 22, 2026

mk