



Digitally Signed
By: NEETUN NAIK
Signing Date: 21.01.2026
18:09:13

Digitally signed by GIRISH KATHPALIA
DN: cn=IN, o=HIGH COURT OF DELHI,
2.5.4.20=8401d8889627a7b72655f8f64af
ec45569af3962c6fb4835d43597f626acc
a, ou=HIGH COURT OF DELHI, cID=
7047638, postalCode=110003, st=Delhi,
serialNumber=d3e8679651ec45cd7b5d
15570993640f8bdc2de6e0402e48796f5
f801e26fa, cn=GIRISH KATHPALIA
Email: 3064623@rediffmail.com



3. Broadly speaking, the complainant *de facto*, who is engaged in business of jewellery, was allegedly robbed at his home by 3-4 persons carrying a toy gun. In the process they allegedly snatched anklet of his wife and fled away. The FIR narrates the incident that on 28.11.2024 at about 08:30 pm when the complainant *de facto* was present at his home, on hearing some commotion from the side of the kitchen, he went there and found his servant on opening the door. The said servant Harish had been caught hold of by 3-4 persons and one of them was carrying a pistol (*which turned out to be a toy gun*). Those intruders rushed inside the home with the intention to commit robbery and they also threatened wife of the complainant *de facto* who was standing behind him. The intruders allegedly threatened wife of the complainant *de facto* to hand over the entire money and gold, otherwise they would kill her. Thereafter, one of the robbers hit on head of the complainant *de facto* and another robber snatched out anklet from foot of wife of the complainant *de facto* and they ran away.

4. Learned counsel for accused/applicant submits that the manner in which the incident had been alleged is completely unbelievable insofar as it cannot be believed that 3-4 persons armed with pistol would enter house of a jeweller and leave after taking only one anklet, that too, after snatching out the same from foot of wife of the complainant *de facto*. It is also pointed out that there is a delay of two days in even lodging the complaint and co-accused Salim, Arman Ali and Laiq Ahamad have already been granted bail. Learned counsel for accused/applicant has taken me through Annexure P-7, which is a copy of the bail order dated 07.01.2026 passed by me, pointing

BAIL APPLICATION 260/2026

Page 2 of 4 pages

Signature Not Verified

Digitally Signed
By:NEETUN NAIR
Signing Date:21.01.2026
18:09:13

GRISH KATHPALIA



8. A copy of this order be immediately transmitted to the concerned Jail Superintendent for informing the accused/applicant.



2026:DHC:504



9. Of course, nothing observed in this order shall be read to the prejudice of either side at the final stage of the trial.

10. Pending applications also stand disposed of.

**GIRISH
KATHPALIA**

Digitally signed by GIRISH KATHPALIA
DN: c=IN, o=HIGH COURT OF DELHI,
2.5.4.20=8401dd889b27a77b2f65ffffe4afe
c45569af3962c6fb4835d435f97626cacca,
ou=HIGH COURT OF DELHI,CID = 7047638,
postalCode=110003, st=Delhi,
serialNumber=d3e86796451ec45c07b5d1
5570996b40f80cbd2eee60402c487965ff8
01e26fa, cn=GIRISH KATHPALIA
Date: 2026.01.21 18:03:08 -08'00'

**GIRISH KATHPALIA
(JUDGE)**

JANUARY 21, 2026/as