



\$~49

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CRL.M.C. 515/2026**

RAVI PAWAR

.....Petitioner

Through: Petitioner with his counsel

versus

STATE OF NCT OF DELHI AND ANR

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Raj Kumar, APP for the State
along with ASI Randhir.
R-2 with her counsel

CORAM:

HON'BLE DR. JUSTICE SWARANA KANTA SHARMA

ORDER

%

21.01.2026

CRL.M.A. 2082/2026 (exemption)

1. Allowed, subject to all just exceptions.
2. Application stands disposed of.

CRL.M.C. 515/2026

3. By way of the present petition, the petitioner seeks quashing of FIR bearing no. 646/2018, registered at Police Station Dabri, Delhi, for the commission of offence punishable under Sections 498A/406/34 of Indian Penal Code, 1860 (hereafter 'IPC').
4. The petitioner and respondent no. 2 are present before this Court through video-conferencing and have been identified by their counsels and concerned Investigating Officer (IO) from Police Station Dabri, Delhi.
5. Brief facts of the present case are that the marriage between petitioner and respondent no. 2 was solemnized on 19.02.2015, as per Hindu rites and



customs. It is stated that one female child was born out of the said wedlock, who is presently in the custody of respondent no. 2. Due to temperamental differences, parties have been residing separately. It is stated that on the complaint of respondent no. 2, the present FIR was registered against the petitioner. However, during pendency of the case, both the parties had amicably settled their disputes before the Counselling Cell, Family Courts, Delhi *vide* Settlement Deed dated 13.03.2019.

6. This Court notes that the custody of minor children is with respondent no. 2 and the future right of the child will not be affected by virtue of this compromise.

7. On a query made by this Court, respondent no.2, who has been identified by the IO, has categorically stated that she has entered into compromise out of her own free will and without any pressure, coercion or threat and has received the entire balance amount. Respondent no. 2 further states she has no objection if the present FIR is quashed.

8. The learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the affidavits showing the protection of interest of minor child, as per the judgment titled as ***Ganesh vs. Sudhirkumar Shrivastava: (2020) 20 SCC 787*** passed by the Hon'ble Supreme Court, have been filed and the same are on record.

9. In view of the above fact that the parties have amicably resolved their differences of their own free will, and without any coercion, no useful purpose will be served by continuing the proceedings, rather the same would create further acrimony between them. It would thus be in interest of justice to quash the abovementioned FIR and the proceedings pursuant thereto. There is no legal impediment in quashing the FIR in question.

10. Accordingly, FIR bearing no. 646/2018, registered at Police Station



Dabri, Delhi, for the commission of offence punishable under Sections 498A/406/34 of IPC and all consequential proceedings emanating therefrom are quashed.

11. In view of above, the present petition stands disposed of.
12. The order be uploaded on the website forthwith.

DR. SWARANA KANTA SHARMA, J

JANUARY 21, 2026/A/R