



\$~20

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ RFA 210/2023, CM APPL. 12435/2023

SANJAY MANN

.....Appellant

Through: Mr. Chatanya, Advocate with
Appellant in person

versus

BHIM SINGH NOW DECEASED THROUGH LRS AND ORS

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Sachin Updadyay, Advocate for
R-1(B) and 1(C)
R-4(D) in person

CORAM:

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE NEENA BANSAL KRISHNA

ORDER

% **18.03.2026**

CM APPL. 59555/2025

1. Application filed on behalf of the Appellant seeking withdrawal of the Appeal.
2. The Appellant is present in person, who submits that the litigation is exorbitantly expensive for him to pursue and seeks permission to withdraw the present Appeal.
3. Reply as been filed on behalf of Respondent No. 4(D)-Ankit Mann wherein an objection is taken to withdrawal of the Appeal on the ground that the Appeal has defective affidavit and they have not been notarised properly by the Oath Commissioner and that there is concealment of material facts from the Court and malpractices had been adopted by the Appellant in filing the Appeal. The withdrawal of the Appeal is therefore contested.



4. There is no provision under CPC, which permits the Respondent to oppose the unconditional withdrawal of the Appeal except that they can seek the imposition of cost.
5. In the facts and circumstances of the case, no imposition of cost is made out.
6. The Appeal along with pending Application, is permitted to be withdrawn. Accordingly, the Appeal stands disposed of.

NEENA BANSAL KRISHNA, J

MARCH 18, 2026

N