



\$~65

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(C) 807/2026**

ANAND LEGAL AID FORUM TRUST

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Abhijit Anand, Mr. Saurav
Jaiswal & Mr. Harshit Awasthi,
Advocates.

versus

REGISTRAR GENERAL HIGH COURT OF DELHI

& ORS.

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Sameer Vashisht- Standing
Counsel with Mr. Avni Singh & Ms.
Harshita Nathrani, Advocates for
Respondent No.2.

Ms. Manisha Agrawal Narain-CGSC
along with Mr. Nipun Jain, Ms. Aditi
Singh, Mr. Rajat Gautam, Advocates
for Respondent No.3/Delhi Police
Headquarters.

Inspector Mr. Dinesh Kumar (SHO,
Saket Police Station).

CORAM:

HON'BLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TEJAS KARIA

ORDER

%

21.01.2026

CM APPL. 3993/2026 (Exemption)

1. Exemption is allowed, subject to all just exceptions.
2. The Application stands disposed of.

W.P.(C) 807/2026

3. This Public Interest Litigation Petition invokes our jurisdiction in



relation to an unfortunate incident where on 09.01.2026, a staff member of the Saket District Court, Delhi, is said to have died.

4. As per the reports, he committed suicide and had left a suicide note as well. The prayers made in the Writ Petition are that a direction be issued for lodging an FIR in respect of the said incident.

5. It has also been prayed that a direction be issued to conduct judicial inquiry into the incident and also that the Respondent be directed to fill up the vacancies in the clerical staff in Delhi Courts.

6. The reported incident is unfortunate.

7. We have been informed that the proceedings under Section 194 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (“**BNSS**”) are going on and the report is awaited.

8. Accordingly, further action which may be warranted under law will depend on the outcome of the proceedings drawn under Section 194 of the BNSS, 2023.

9. Therefore, we do not find it appropriate at this juncture, to issue any direction for lodging of FIR.

10. We have further been informed by the learned Counsel representing Respondent No. 1 that appropriate steps are being taken by the High Court on its administrative side to fill up the vacancies and also to make an overall assessment of the requirement of the staff for the purpose of rationalising the distribution of work amongst clerical staff and the other employees.

11. We have no doubt that such a course of action as stated by the learned Counsel for Respondent No. 1 shall be evolved within the shortest span of time and adequate steps shall also be taken to fill up the vacancies at the earliest.



12. With the aforesaid observation, the Writ Petition stands disposed of.

DEVENDRA KUMAR UPADHYAYA, CJ

TEJAS KARIA, J

JANUARY 21, 2026/ 'A'