



\$~83

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ **CRL.M.C. 514/2026 & CRL.M.A. 2063/2026**
PARTH GUPTA

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Rajesh Kumar Singh, Mr. Rohish Arora and Mr. Amit Bidhuri, Advocates.

versus

STATE NCT OF DELHI AND ANR

.....Respondent

Through: Mr. Sunil Kumar Gautam, APP for the State with SI Jyoti.
Advocate for Respondent No.2 (appearance not given).

CORAM:
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ JAIN

ORDER
16.03.2026

%

1. Petitioner herein seeks quashing of FIR No. 0329/2025 dated 18.06.2025, registered at Police Station Amar Colony, for commission of offence under Section 69 of *Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023* (BNS), along with all consequential proceedings arising therefrom, on the basis of compromise arrived at between the parties.
2. It is submitted that even from the bare allegations appearing in the charge-sheet and in view of the several judicial pronouncements, the charges are not sustainable.
3. However, when asked, learned counsel for petitioner, in all fairness, submitted that the charges have yet not been ascertained. He, however, submits that the other side is unnecessarily delaying the trial by seeking

CRL.M.C. 514/2026

1



adjournment with the request that the matter be got further investigated and also by filing frivolous applications. He, however, states that he would not press present petition, provided there is no delay from opposite side.

4. Learned APP for the State and learned counsel for respondent No.2 appear on advance notice and learned counsel for respondent No.2 submits that they would extend their best cooperation and would advance arguments on charge before the learned Trial Court on the next date of hearing.

5. Apparently, all the contentions which have been raised in the present petition can be, very well, taken up by the petitioner before the learned Trial Court at the time of addressing arguments on charge and, therefore, the present petition is disposed of as not pressed, while reserving all the rights and contentions of the parties.

6. Needless to say, the petitioner would be at liberty to file the petition afresh as and when need so arises after the order on charge is pronounced.

7. The learned Trial Court is also requested to ascertain charges as expeditiously as possible and if the FSL report is still awaited, the concerned Director FSL be directed to expedite preparation of such report.

8. The petition stands disposed of in aforesaid terms.

9. Pending application also stands disposed of in aforesaid terms.

MANOJ JAIN, J

MARCH 16, 2026/ss/sa