



\$~12

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CRL.M.C. 466/2026 & CRL.M.A. 1870/2026**

SUNIL @ SUNIL KUMAR & ORS.Petitioners

Through: Ms. Kusum Gupta, Adv.

versus

THE STATE NCT OF DELHI & ANR.Respondent

Through: Mr. Satish Kumar, APP with Mr.
Upasana Bakshi, Adv.

SI- Sonal Raj, PS: Bharat Nagar
Respondent no.2 present in person

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SAURABH BANERJEE

ORDER

05.02.2026

%

1. By virtue of the present petition under *Section 528* of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (**BNSS**) [erstwhile *Section 482* of the Code Of Criminal Procedure, 1973 (**Cr.P.C**)], the petitioners seek quashing of FIR No.62/2014 dated 02.02.2014 registered at PS: Bharat Nagar under *Sections 498A/406/34* of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 (**IPC**) and *Sections 3 and 4* of Dowry Prohibition Act, 1961 as also all proceedings emanating therefrom, in view of the order/settlement dated 28.03.2016 arrived at between the petitioner no.1 and the respondent no.2 before the learned SCJ-cum-RC, North District, Rohini Court, Delhi.

2. The present petition is accompanied by the order/ settlement dated 28.03.2016 arrived at between the petitioner no.1 and the respondent no.2 before the learned SCJ-cum-RC, North District, Rohini Court, Delhi



[**Annexure P3**] as also certificate of the Settlement dated 28.03.2016 and is also supported by affidavit(s) of all the petitioners and respondent no.2, alongwith their respective identity proofs.

3. Issue notice.

4. Learned APP for the State accepts notice. He submits that he has no objection to the quashing of the aforesaid FIR No.62/2014 dated 02.02.2014.

5. Learned counsel for respondent no.2 also accepts notice. Respondent no.2, present in Court, confirms that the marriage of the petitioner no.1 and respondent no.2 has since been dissolved by virtue of the Decree of Divorce dated 08.05.2017 by mutual consent. She further affirms the terms of the Settlement dated 28.03.2016. She submits that petitioner no.1 has already paid her the total settlement amount. She further states that she has no objection to the quashing of FIR No.62/2014 dated 02.02.2014.

6. Further, the petitioners and the respondent no.2, present in Court, as well as their credentials, as on record, have been identified by the IO.

7. In view of the fact that a Settlement has already been arrived *inter se* the parties, they shall remain bound by all the terms and conditions contained therein. As such, following the law laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in ***Jitendra Raghuvanshi & Ors. vs. Babita Raguvanshi & Anr. (2013) 4 SCC 58***, ***Gian Singh vs. State of Punjab & Anr. (2012) 10 SCC 303*** and ***Narinder Singh & Ors. vs. State of Punjab & Anr. (2014) 6 SCC 466***, this Court is of the opinion that continuation of the aforesaid FIR No.62/2014 dated 02.02.2014 will be an exercise in futility.

8. Accordingly, the present petition is allowed and FIR No.62/2014



dated 02.02.2014 registered at PS: Bharat Nagar under *Sections 498A/406/34* of the IPC and *Sections 3 and 4* of Dowry Prohibition Act, 1961 and all proceedings emanating therefrom, are quashed.

9. Accordingly, the petition alongwith pending application is disposed of in the aforesaid terms.

SAURABH BANERJEE, J

FEBRUARY 5, 2026/Ab