



\$~111

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(C) 521/2026 & CM APPL. 2507/2026**

VIKAS JAISWAL

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Nishant Mandal, Mr. Arun
Kumar Yadav, Advs. alongwith
petitioner in person.

versus

CENTRAL INFORMATION COMMISSION

.....Respondent

Through: None.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PURUSHAINDRA KUMAR KAURAV

ORDER

%

15.01.2026

1. The sole grievance raised by the petitioner is *qua* non-compliance of the directions passed by the Chief Information Commission ['CIC'] to Union Public Service Commission in its order dated 26.08.2025.
2. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submits that he has taken appropriate recourse before CIC seeking full compliance of the directions dated 26.08.2025. According to him, such an application was presented on 08.11.2025, however, the same is pending for consideration. He also submits that in the interregnum, he has filed an application seeking urgent hearing, which remains pending for consideration.
3. Having considered the overall facts and situations, the Court finds that, under Sections 18 and 20 of the Right to Information Act, 2005, the respective Commissions are fully empowered to consider grievances relating



to non-compliance of their directions. If the petitioner has taken the aforesaid recourse, the same should be taken to its logical conclusion with due expedition.

4. Accordingly, the petitioner is further granted liberty to approach CIC and to seek early disposal of his grievance. If such an application is presented, the same is directed to be decided with due expedition.

5. The petition stands disposed of.

PURUSHAINDRA KUMAR KAURAV, J

JANUARY 15, 2026/p/amg