



\$~75

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CRL.M.C. 366/2026, CRL.M.A. 1379/2026**

RAMESH KUMAR AND ORS.

.....Petitioners

Through: Ms. Sakshi Mishra and Mr.
Yashasvi Tomar, Advocates.

versus

STATE NCT OF DELHI AND ANR

.....Respondents

Through: Ms. Meenakshi Dahiya, APP for the
State with Ms. Vanshika Singh and
Ms. Divya Bakshi, Advocates
SI Parmender Kumar, PS-Nihal
Vihar and SI Brahm Parkash, PS-
Sultanpuri
Mr. Anuj Kumar Ranjan, Advocate
for R-2.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SAURABH BANERJEE

ORDER

15.01.2026

%

1. By virtue of the present petition under *Section 528* of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (**BNSS**) (erstwhile *Section 482* of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973), the petitioners seek quashing of FIR No.1235/2021 dated 01.11.2021 registered at PS.: Sultanpuri, Delhi under *Sections 498A/406/34* of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 (**IPC**), as also all other proceeding(s) emanating therefrom, in view of the Settlement Agreement dated 08.08.2025 arrived at *inter se* the petitioners and the respondent no.2 herein.

2. At the outset, the learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the



present petition is accompanied by the Settlement Agreement dated 08.08.2025 as *Annexure-P-3*, and is also supported by affidavit(s) of the petitioners and respondent no.2, alongwith proofs of the respective I.D.s. Additionally, petitioners and respondent no.2, present in Court, have been identified by the Investigating Officer and the credentials of both, as on record, have also been duly verified by the Investigating Officer.

3. Issue Notice.

4. Learned APP for the State accepts notice. She confirms that she has no objection to the quashing of the aforesaid FIR.

5. The respondent no.2 confirms that the learned Principal Judge, Family Courts, North-West District, Rohini Courts, Delhi has passed a decree of divorce by mutual consent on 21.11.2025. She also affirms the Settlement Agreement dated 08.08.2025, in compliance whereof the petitioners have already paid her a total sum of Rs.1,10,000/- (*Rupees One Lakh Ten Thousand Only*) and also handed over a further sum of Rs.50,000/- (*Rupees Five Thousand Only*) to her in Court as a full and final Settlement *qua* all her claims including alimony, maintenance (present, past and future), and all other miscellaneous expenses, *vide* Demand Draft No.000138 dated 05.01.2026 (Bank of India, Rajouri Garden Branch, New Delhi). Lastly, respondent no.2 states that she has no objection to the quashing of the said FIR.

6. In view of the fact that a Settlement has already been arrived at between the parties, they shall remain bound by all the terms and conditions contained therein. As such, following the law laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in *Jitendra Raghuvanshi & Ors. vs. Babita Raghuvanshi & Anr.; (2013) 4 SCC 58*, *Gian Singh vs. State of Punjab &*



Anr.; (2012) 10 SCC 303 and Narinder Singh & Ors. vs. State of Punjab & Anr. (2014) 6 SCC 466, this Court is of the opinion that continuation of the aforesaid FIR will be an exercise in futility.

7. Accordingly, the present petition is allowed and FIR No.1235/2021 dated 01.11.2021 under *Sections 498A/406/34* of the IPC registered at PS: Sultanpuri, Delhi and all other proceedings emanating therefrom are hereby quashed.

8. As such, the petition alongwith the pending application is disposed of in the aforesaid terms.

SAURABH BANERJEE, J

JANUARY 15, 2026/bh