



\$~21

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ CRL.M.C. 328/2026

PARVINDRA KUMAR

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Rajiv Singh Pilania, Mr. A.P. Sidharth, Mr. Girdhari Singh, Mr. Rishabh Sharma and Ms. Kirti Prabha, Advocates.

Petitioner *via* video-conferencing.

versus

STATE (NCT OF DELHI) & ANR.

.....Respondents

Through: Ms. Richa Dhawan, APP for the State.

SI Sumeet Ponia, P.S., Vivek Vihar.

Mr. Dikshant Khanna, Advocate for R-2 and R-2 in court.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUP JAIRAM BHAMBHANI

ORDER

30.01.2026

%

By way of the present petition filed under section 528 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita 2023, the petitioner, who is the former husband of the complainant/respondent No.2, seeks quashing of case FIR No.387/2021 dated 14.08.2021 registered under sections 498A/406/506/34 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 ('IPC') at P.S.: Vivek Vihar, Shahdara, Delhi.

2. The petition is premised on Compromise-cum-Settlement Deed dated 18.11.2025 signed between the parties; and Divorce Decree dated 26.12.2025, which is the culmination of petitions under sections 13B(1) and 13B(2) of the Hindu Marriage Act 1955, whereby the parties had sought dissolution of their marriage by mutual consent.



3. The petition is supported by affidavits of the petitioner (filed through his SPA holder, who is arrayed as P2), as also of respondent No.2, alongwith proof of their IDs.
4. The petitioner has joined *via* video-conferencing since he is in the Indian Army and is currently posted in Srinagar; and respondent No. 2 is present in court. Their credentials have been verified and they have also been identified by their respective counsel.
5. The parties have confirmed that no child was born from the wed-lock.
6. No appeal is stated to have been filed from the divorce decree.
7. The court has queried Ms. Shilpi Koshik, respondent No.2, who confirms that she has taken divorce by mutual consent; and that a settlement deed has been signed between the parties; and that in full-and-final settlement of all her claims including towards maintenance (past, present and future), *stridhan*, dowry articles, jewellery, permanent alimony, etc., she was to receive a sum of Rs.20,00,000/- from petitioner No. 1; out of which Rs. 11,00,000/- was paid earlier and Rs.9,00,000/- has been paid in court today, in compliance of the terms of the settlement deed. Respondent No.2 confirms that all aspects of the settlement have now been performed.
8. Ms. Richa Dhawan, learned APP confirms that the State has no objection to the subject FIR being quashed.
9. In the circumstances, in line with the law laid down by the Supreme Court in ***Gian Singh vs. State of Punjab & Anr.*** reported as (2012) 10 SCC 303 as also in ***Narinder Singh & Ors. vs. State of Punjab & Anr.*** reported as (2014) 6 SCC 466, this court sees no reason why the subject FIR and all proceedings emanating therefrom should not be quashed.



This court is of the view that in light of the settlement between the contesting parties, continuing with the subject FIR and all subsequent proceedings would be an exercise in futility and would not be conducive to peace and harmony between the parties.

10. Accordingly, case FIR No. 387/2021 dated 14.08.2021 registered under sections 498A/406/506/34 of the IPC at P.S.: Vivek Vihar, Shahdara, Delhi is quashed. All proceedings arising therefrom also stand closed.
11. Petition stands disposed-of.
12. Pending applications, if any, also stand disposed-of.

ANUP JAIRAM BHAMBHANI, J

JANUARY 30, 2026

ds