



\$~133

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ W.P.(CRL) 125/2026 & CRL.M.A. 1153/2026
ASLAM

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Yugansh Mittal, Mr. Keshav,
Advocates.

versus

STATE

.....Respondent

Through: Mr. Sanjeev Bhandari, ASC (Crl)
with Mr. Arjit Sharma and Ms.
Sakshi Jha, Advocates.
SI Harsh Kumar.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PRATEEK JALAN

ORDER

%

14.01.2026

1. By way of the present writ petition, under Article 226 of the Constitution, the petitioner, who is undergoing imprisonment for offences punishable under Sections 302 and 34 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 ["IPC"], arising out of FIR No. 106/1998 registered at P.S. Nabi Karim, Delhi, challenges a purported "*Punishment Ticket*" dated 23.07.2024, which is stated to have been issued by the Jail Authorities.
2. Mr. Yugansh Mittal, learned counsel for the petitioner, submits that the petitioner was released on furlough on 12.06.2024 for a period of three weeks. It is contended that the petitioner surrendered three days beyond the stipulated period on account of a medical exigency, which allegedly resulted in the issuance of the impugned punishment ticket.
3. *Per contra*, Mr. Sanjeev Bhandari, learned Additional Standing



Counsel for the State, on instructions from the Jail Authorities, submits that no punishment ticket has, in fact, been issued against the petitioner. He submits that, upon consideration of the explanation furnished for the delay of three days in surrender, the petitioner was merely cautioned to remain careful in the future. It is further submitted that the petitioner has, even thereafter, been granted furlough.

4. In view of the aforesaid categorical submission made on behalf of the Jail Authorities that no punishment ticket exists on record, and that only a warning was administered to the petitioner, no further orders are required to be passed in the present writ petition.

5. Accordingly, the writ petition, alongwith the pending application, stands disposed of.

6. It is clarified that in the event any adverse consequences arise in future on account of the said warning, it shall be open to the petitioner to approach this Court, in accordance with law.

PRATEEK JALAN, J

JANUARY 14, 2026

SS/SD/