



\$~55

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CRL.M.C. 308/2026**

NISHANT PRATAP SINGH

.....Petitioner

Through: Petitioner with their counsel Mr.
Babban Bhai and Mr. Manish
Sindwani, Advs.

versus

THE STATE (GOVT.OF DELHI) & ANR.Respondents

Through: Mr. Digam Singh Dagar, APP for the
State.

R-2 with her counsel Mr. Akash
Nagar, Mr. Siddharth Dixit and Mr.
Rashi Choudhary, Advs.

CORAM:

HON'BLE DR. JUSTICE SWARANA KANTA SHARMA

ORDER

% **25.02.2026**

1. *Vide* order dated 16.01.2026, the petitioner was directed to implead petitioner nos. 2 and 3, who have been charge-sheeted in the present case. They have been impleaded as parties to the present petition and the amended memo of parties has been filed.
2. By way of the present petition, the petitioners are seeking quashing of FIR bearing no. 62/2024, registered at Police Station Crime (Woman) Cell, Nanak Pura, Delhi for the commission of offence punishable under Sections 498A/406/34 of Indian Penal Code, 1860 (hereafter '*IPC*').
3. The petitioners and respondent no. 2 are present before this Court in



person and have been identified by their counsels and concerned Investigating Officer (IO) from the concerned Police Station.

4. Briefly stated, facts of the present case are that the marriage between petitioner no. 1 and respondent no. 2 was solemnized on 27.02.2009 as per the Hindu rites and customs and were living with each other. Two children were born from their wedlock. After some time, due to different lifestyles and temperamental differences, both the parties could not reside with each other and have started living separately since 15.05.2024. Thereafter, on the complaint of respondent no.2, the present FIR was registered against the petitioners under the relevant sections.

5. It is stated that both the parties have amicably settled the present matter *vide* Memorandum of Understanding for Settlement dated 12.09.2025 entered between them, and petitioner no. 1 and respondent no. 2 are living together.

6. On a query made by this Court, respondent no.2 who has been identified by the concerned IO, has categorically stated that she has entered into compromise out of her own free will and without any pressure, coercion or threat. It is also stated by respondent no. 2 that the entire dispute has been amicably settled between the parties and petitioner no. 1 and respondent no. 2 are living together. Respondent no. 2 further states that she has no objection if the present FIR is quashed.

7. In view of the above fact that the parties have amicably resolved their differences out of their own free will, without any coercion and no useful purpose will be served by continuing the proceedings, rather the same would create further acrimony between them. It would thus be in interest of justice to quash the abovementioned FIR and the proceedings pursuant thereto.



There is no legal impediment in quashing the FIR in question.

8. Accordingly, FIR bearing No. 62/2024, registered at Police Station Crime (Woman) Cell, Nanak Pura, Delhi for the commission of offence punishable under Sections 498A/406/34 of IPC and all consequential proceedings emanating therefrom are quashed.

9. In view of the above, the present petition stands disposed of.

10. The order be uploaded on the website forthwith.

DR. SWARANA KANTA SHARMA, J

FEBRUARY 25, 2026/A/R