



\$~65

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
% ***Date of Decision: 14th January, 2026***
+ **W.P.(CRL) 115/2026 & CRL.M.A. 1099/2026**
VIKAS SHARMA & ORS.

.....Petitioner
Through: Mr. Pradeep Kumar & Mr. Bimlesh
Nagar, Advocates.

versus
THE STATE (N.C.T. OF DELHI) & ANR.

.....Respondent
Through: Mr. Sanjay Lao, SC with SI Dinesh.
Mr. Anshul Garg, Advocate for R-2
along with R-2.

CORAM:
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ JAIN
J U D G M E N T (oral)

1. Petitioners herein seek quashing of FIR No. 543/2016 dated 31.12.2016, registered at P.S. Harsh Vihar, under Sections 498-A/406/506/509/323/34 of Indian Penal Code, (IPC) 1860, along with all consequential proceedings arising therefrom, on the basis of compromise arrived at between the parties.
2. Petitioner No.1 got married to respondent No.2/ complainant on 29.06.2012, as per Hindu rites and ceremonies. One male child was born from the said wedlock who is presently in the care and custody of respondent No.2/mother.
3. A per the allegations appearing in FIR, respondent No.2 was subjected to cruelty and harassment by the petitioners.
4. The chargesheet in the abovesaid case has already been filed and the case is, reportedly, at the stage of Prosecution Evidence.
5. The parties have, however, entered into amicable settlement before the



Mediation Centre, Saket Courts, New Delhi on 17.09.2024 and have agreed to part ways in a graceful manner.

6. As per the terms of the settlement, both the parties have agreed to give divorce to each other by mutual consent and in this regard, their respective statements have already been recorded and there is a decree of divorce dated 25.04.2025.

7. Respondent No.2 has also agreed to accept a sum of Rs. 13,50,000/- as full and final settlement *in lieu* of maintenance, *istridhan*, alimony (past, present and future). Out of the said amount, she has already received a sum of Rs. 10,00,000/- and the balance amount of a sum of Rs. 3,50,000/- has been received by her today during course of proceedings, by way of Demand Draft bearing No. 00019212124045699999016 dated 10.09.2025, drawn on HDFC Bank.

8. Parties are present in Court and the Court has interacted with them. Upon a query to respondent No.2, she reiterated terms of the settlement and submits that she would have no objection if the instant FIR is quashed.

9. Respondent No.2 has also been duly identified by her counsel as well as by the IO.

10. The keys of one room of House bearing No. D-27, Shiv Puri, Near Jangeshwar Mandir, Palwal, Haryana, have also been handed over by respondent No. 2 to counsel for petitioners today, in terms of settlement.

11. In view of the settlement arrived at between the parties, continuing with criminal proceedings would serve no useful purpose, especially, when dispute does not involve any public interest and is, primarily, private in nature. In any case, even the complainant does not wish to press any charges against the petitioners.

12. Accordingly, exercising inherent powers vested in this Court under



Section 528 of the BNSS, it is deemed appropriate to quash the instant FIR.

13. Consequently, to secure the ends of justice, FIR No. 543/2016 dated 31.12.2016, registered at P.S. Harsh Vihar, under Sections 498-A/406/506/509/323/34 of Indian Penal Code, (IPC) 1860, along with all consequential proceedings emanating therefrom, is hereby, quashed.

14. The petition, along with the application, stands disposed of in aforesaid terms.

(MANOJ JAIN)
JUDGE

JANUARY 14, 2026/sw/sa