



\$~41

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(C) 430/2024 & CM APPL. 57531/2025**

RAHUL BANSAL

.....Petitioner

Through: Ms. Sharda Garg and Mr. Bharat
Bhabota, Advs.

versus

MAHARASTRA POLICE AND ANOTHERRespondents

Through: Mr. Gulshan K.R. Sachdev, Adv. for
Kotak Mahindra Bank.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PURUSHAINDR KUMAR KAURAV

ORDER

% **21.01.2026**

1. It appears that FIR No.295/2022, has been registered by respondent no. 1 in Maharashtra and pursuant to notice dated 05.10.2023 issued by the latter, the petitioner's bank account maintained with the respondent no. 2-bank has been frozen.
2. The petitioner has been given to understand that if the petitioner is withdraw the instant petition, necessary steps shall be taken by the investigating agency to issue the directions for defreezing the petitioner's account.
3. Learned counsel appearing for petitioner seeks adjournment, however, the Court finds that the petitioners shall be at liberty to take appropriate steps with regard to the pending FIR and if the petitioner's grievance is not mitigated, he shall be at liberty to file an application for revival of the instant



writ petition.

4. With the aforesaid observations, petition stands disposed of.

PURUSHAINDR KUMAR KAURAV, J

JANUARY 21, 2026/P/AMG